

Chapter 6

Ezekiel 37:22 “And I will make them one nation in the land upon the mountains of Israel; and one king shall be king to them all: and they shall be no more two nations, neither shall they be divided into two kingdoms any more at all:”

Ps.86:11 "Teach me your way; O YHWH, I will walk in thy truth: **unite** my heart to fear thy **Name**."

Unity

This Ps.86:11 Prayer expands to all of us that desire to seek His Face, His Salvation, His Covenant and His Son who mediates the New Covenant. Before any meaningful conclusion of true Covenant Unity can be forged, we have to both identify ... dividing correctly what we know ... AND ... identify ... dividing correctly what we could have known, were ignorant of, assumed or have been taught to dismiss. This ‘dividing correctly’ includes repentance; an about face, losing those things that ought never to have been. Of all the issues, components and considerations identified, it is the ones left unidentified (unknown) that cause the most problems. That is; you cannot solve, incorporate or correct what is currently thought to be quote ... ‘the Truth’ ... by what is not even on the table to be considered.

James 5:19 “**Brethren**, if any **of you** do err from the truth, and one convert him; 20 Let him know, that he which converteth the sinner from the error of his way shall save a soul from death, **and shall hide a multitude of sins.**”

I don’t know about you; so I will speak for myself, not to take anything away from Yahshua’s perfect sin atonement, still here are these verses. I most certainly am guilty of that ‘multitude of sins’, I could use the coverage this verse speaks of. I have done as most of Christianity; after accepting “Christ” ... backslide, backslide and continue to sin. Notice closely what the Apostle James at the conclusion of his letter is saying. He most certainly is not addressing unbelievers, He unmistakably is addressing the very same ‘you’ (and me) that would claim salvation counting ourselves as ‘Brethren’. That very closely parallels what Paul wrote as conclusion to his 1st letter to Timothy.

1Tim.4:15 “Meditate upon these things; give thyself wholly to them; that thy profiting may appear in all things 16 Take heed unto thyself, and unto the doctrine; continue in them: for in **doing this** thou shalt both **save thyself, and them that hear thee.**”

Note: Now read James 5:19 again factoring in this awareness; the New Testament 'doctrine' is verifiably inclusive from the Old Testament (Acts 17:11).

Deut 32:2 "My **doctrine** shall drop as the rain, my speech shall distil as the dew, as the small rain upon the tender herb, and as the showers upon the grass:"

Remember this “**doctrine**” comes directly from Torah

There are others, but these New Testament verses alone fly in the face of the ‘Jesus did it all’ so ‘I don’t have to’ mentality. Instead we need to adjust this mindset to: ‘Yahshua did it all ... so I am able to ... living His life as He did ... through YHWH’s Power’; the same ‘Power’ Yahshua prayed for as an example to us to also pray for and walk in that same ‘Power’ . Since these verses were written approaching 2000 years ago; there have been many deviations, additions and omissions that ought never to have been. But have nonetheless shaped the religious world we know today. A religious paradigm/understanding that many vigorously defend as ‘the Faith’ today. John the ‘apostle of love’ gave us these warnings also written some 2000 years ago.

1John 2:18 “Little children, it is the last time: and as ye have heard that antichrist shall come, even now are there **many antichrists**; whereby we know that it is the last time.”

Who would these false prophet teachers be? We most certainly know they didn’t just disappear. How many thousands of them would there be now? What would they be falsely teaching? ... ***From Your Bible !!!*** We can know for a fact that no matter how much is affirmed, no matter how much is assured, no matter how much is promised, no matter how much is explained away or reversed to the contrary; they themselves of a certainty either by ignorance or arrogance, will NOT be striving to, nor encouraging those they teach to keep an undiluted/undiminished Covenant.

This certainly is one of the prime reasons why we periodically with increasing frequency are witness to the pride, greed and lust-based fiascos that take on many shapes in our various organized religious administrations and outlets. That exhibits the audacity of arrogance while spewing out the ‘love frosting’ hypocrisy of a hidden ingenuine incongruent lifestyle. In other words either they will say one thing, sweetly sometimes tearfully affirming what should be kept and won’t or at best creatively apply it ... OR ...

they will openly denounce what should be kept while endeavoring/modeling up to and including the fullest applied expression of denouncement thereof. That's why John also gave us this directive:

1John 4:1"Beloved, believe not every spirit, but try the spirits whether they are of YHWH: because **many** false prophets are gone out into the world."

When was the last time you were taught or given the permission to ... or even made aware of ... in any Church or Assembly ... that we have the right ... the honor bound dutiful directive from Scripture to test what is presented as, or passes for and even what authentically is ... the Set-Apart (Holy) Spirit and/or the 'Anointing' thereof? This would include any person, place, postulation, word, spirit, thought, message or thing. As stated before I would rather be guilty of over-testing the Truth than under-testing a lie. You/We need to ... and need to be able, to verify if he (or she) is in fact YHWH's anointed messenger speaking YHWH's anointed Word.

This 'anointed' Word has to be free of the "mix" that typifies the vocational clergy (II Tim. 3:1-7) message massaging the itchy ears (II Tim. 4:3) of the un-aware semi-para-faithful i.e. the trusting, the simple-minded, and/or the self-assured - polarized - semi-para-Scriptural 'like-minded' (2Cor.10:12; Romans 1:22). Those that stealthfully attempt to foist a studious 'enlightened' flowery academic 'spin' (calling it Gospel) on those who would otherwise be 'the faithful', by discounting the Covenant and/or the Covenant Commandments of YHWH; saying that ... "all must be redefined/reinterpreted in the person of Christ. These persons must directly truthfully consider what Yahshua directly said:

John 7:16 "Yahshua answered them, **and said**, My doctrine is **not mine**, but *His that sent me*. 17 If any man will do His will, he shall know of the doctrine, whether it be of YHWH, or whether I speak of myself. 18 He that speaks of himself seeks his own glory: but he that seeks His glory that sent him, the same is true, and no unrighteousness is in him."

If we as the Body of Messiah under Scripture are ever to truly be 'Unified' we must be clear on the Marriage Family Covenant concept. Paul in Rom.7 uses the human marriage covenant, which is blood-ratified to explain an aspect of the Biblical Marriage Family Covenant that is also Blood-Ratified. Basically Paul uses what we know to example what we should know; I will follow in his example. Most correctly, and up until some 50 years ago, most people (man and woman) were virgin, and Biblically are to be virgin until marriage.

As an example of this aspect, if the Jewish wedding is studied, which very much pictures YHWH's plan of Salvation to us, you will come to the part where the now

married virgins consummate their marriage in a marriage chamber while the marriage party is still celebrating the event outside. A white cloth is placed on the bed for the expressed purpose of becoming blood stained when the hymen of the now married virgin woman was ruptured during sexual intercourse. This blood stained white cloth was then brought and shown in full view of all present as proof that the marriage was in fact a marriage being fully consummated ... in other words 'Ratified' in blood. This newly married couple was now a new family, specifically a blood-covenanted family unit.

At Creation, man was created in and with many abilities and bestowments, among which was 'free choice'. I know many even in the current religious arena will call this into question and/or rationalize this away, which is simply not the truth (Gen.1:27, Joshua 24:15). YHWH also gave man 'dominion' of everything on the Earth (Gen.1:28). When Adam as the representative of all mankind followed Eve in following/obeying Satan's lie of enticement, even though Adam had direct contact with and instruction from YHWH the Creator; Satan now had the 'dominion' directly because of man's (Adam's) 'free choice' (Rom 5:12-19, Rom.11:29). Man because of man was no longer under YHWH's direct control; man had 'chosen' death (Gen.2:17) ... to follow; however unknowingly and be dominated by Satan. Satan now had full authority to rob, kill, and destroy every former dominion of man including man, with complete impunity ... legal immunity only limited by YHWH Himself.

Because of 'dominion' on man's account ... in the earthly arena, YHWH could not legally charge Satan with anything on this earthly plain ... this is Satan's Power as it relates to us. We as humans are the multi-reason for the drama; in that YHWH prizes us so highly that He would actually spare nothing including a very real, personal and dear aspect of Himself through His Only Begotten Son. When the Messiah ... the sinless Son of YHWH was crucified, Satan through his 'dominion' ... man ... the crucifying Roman Soldiers (Jn.19:23) and the ruthless Jewish (Jn.8:44) religious administrators (Jn.18:24) playing the Roman court (Jn.18:31) and the Jewish crowd (Mt.27:20-26), had now committed murder, which broke his (Satan's) power (Ac 26:18)... broke his 'dominion' ... broke his hold on man. The crucifixion 'broke' Satan's hold, it did not remove Satan or his hold. We still have the choice to be held by Satan and sin, but we now have the choice to not be held in that grasp ... we have the choice to enter in to YHWH's Covenant ... to be led and restored by YHWH.

Acts 26:18 "To open their eyes, and to turn them from darkness to light, and from the power of Satan unto YHWH, that they may receive forgiveness of sins, and **inheritance** among them which are sanctified by faith <pistis> (fidelity) that is in me."

How can we that are to be progressively/continually being Sanctified **by** the Fidelity that Yahshua Taught, Lived and Exemplified ... that is STILL "in" Him, claim any part of that sanctification, let alone receive an **inheritance** from that which we refuse to fully walk in?

Now with this groundwork we can go back to the human marriage example. When children are born to that married couple, they are children of that human marriage covenant, to be raised, nurtured and protected by ... in that human marriage covenant. In the human arena ... in these United States or any other country ... you have no direct legal rights to or responsibilities for any other children outside of your marriage covenant. You have no direct legal rights to or responsibilities for any other children born under any other marriage or broken marriage covenant or broken alternate situation.

However if you for some reason adopt a child you now have both direct legal rights to and responsibilities (including liabilities) for that child and its actions regardless of what went on before. Now I ask you ... Is it 'Love' ... to you, your family or the child, not to model and teach these human marriage covenant children about the law (Scriptural or societal)? If you're in doubt survey a few prison inmates. In the same way YHWH would not be a loving Father to allow any member of His Family to be undisciplined ... especially to the detriment of the other family members. In a perfect world ... in YHWH's domain; 'Law' is a blessing ... a protection to the family i.e. the lawful. That same 'Law' is a terror ... a deterring detriment to the loveless ... to the lawless.

There can be no "Love-Relationship" without parameters. As this pertains to the Scriptural Family Love Relationship Covenant; it is the Covenant Commandment Laws that are those "Love-Relationship" parameters. As stated, "the crucifixion 'broke' Satan's hold; it did not remove Satan or his hold". This broken dominion hold is the opening and freedom needed for us to have and make that 'free-will-choice', to be part of YHWH's Family. Satan is at best a defeated foe. He is still a foe.

Just like the giant that wears a size #3 hat may not be too smart but he can still hurt you. Satan's defeat is only realized as we walk in Covenant Victory. If we reject any part of the Covenant, how is this not doing violence to the whole Covenant? If we choose not to fully walk in YHWH's Victory ... there is no other choice but to continue to walk in the dominion of Satan's defeat. Certainly that part that is not 'fully' walked in ... in Covenant fullness ... will be filled by that part of Satan's dominion defeat. This is where most Churches and Assemblies are today ... one foot in ... one foot out ... continually ever leaning harder to the out side. Paul states it this way:

1Cor.5:6 "Your glorying is not good. Know ye not that a little leaven leaveneth the whole lump?"

Jeremiah 7:8 Boldly states: ...

"Behold, ye trust in lying words, that cannot profit."

Ezekiel 17:15 asks it this way ...

"shall he (We {added}) break the covenant, and be delivered?"

I ask you again; “If we reject any part of the Covenant, how is this ‘Not’ doing violence to the whole Covenant?” If you permanently lose any one part or one function of your body how does that ‘Not’ affect the way you think and every thing you do on a daily basis? How has that not done violence to the rest of your body? My co-seeking friend we need to embrace as much of the Covenant as we can find and test to be so!

Continuing in that human marriage covenant example; once those marriage covenant children become adults they now become personally responsible to heed and discern what they had been taught ... you as parent are no longer responsible for their adult actions. However the ‘Great Commission’ tells us to make disciples. How would you do that unless you teach them (including your own children) the Truth so that a disciple can in turn make another disciple and so on? You cannot be held accountable for what your friends and family do with the Truth. However you are totally accountable to YHWH for what you did and did not teach as the Truth.

In the same way there is and always will be a legal side to YHWH’s Love ... and as such there is and always will be a legal side to Scriptural Salvation. To deny that this is so is to deny the legal side of YHWH’s Word ... the legal side of YHWH’s Character ... the legal side of YHWH’s Justice ... the legal side of YHWH’s Salvation ... the legal side of YHWH’s Covenant. I full well realize that there have been, are and will continue to be more than enough of those individuals that will be more than happy to do just that. This thought has been stated many ways and basically comes down to statements similar to ... “we can’t be perfect, that’s why we give it to Jesus” ... what this really cloaks/hides is the passive-rebellious attitude of ... I don’t have to, so why try? Which denies YHWH’s Word, Plan, Power and in a real sense YHWH Himself (Rom. 8:37; Heb 1:3).

Galatians 5:8 “This persuasion comes not of Him that calls you. 9 A little leaven leavens the whole lump.”

My question to you is: How does the initial fact of our inability to perfectly perform perfection (as Yahshua most certainly did and thereby endeavoring to ‘walk as He walked’ patterning our lives after Him) relieve us of the obligation to try? Or increasingly apply what we know as that knowledge increases? ... Thereby relieving us of the obligation to teach this same said perfection? Do you really think we have the right in any way to preclude, delute, present or alter YHWH’s perfection in any way to be compensatory/equal to our fallen ability, reasoning or understanding? ... And at that to remain there? ... At that level once we become aware of better Truth?

In other words the goal should be the goal; to be striven for ... contended for earnestly whether we are able to attain it in this life or not. I am convinced that YHWH is concerned about the integrity ... the purity of our intentions, which will guide the integrity and purity of our actions, regardless of absolute actual perfection being achieved today, tomorrow or the next decade, etc. The point is we should all be on that same road to being perfected. I am absolutely convinced that YHWH is also concerned that we be in agreement with Him, His Covenant, His Commandments, His Statues, His Judgments, His Sabbaths, His Feasts.

1John 2:3 “And hereby we do know that we know him,
if we **keep** his commandments. 4 He that saith,
I know him, and keepeth not his commandments,
is a ... **liar**,... and the truth is not in him. 5 But
whoso keepeth his word, in him verily is the
love of YHWH perfected: hereby know we that
we are in him.6 He that saith he abideth in him
ought himself also so to **walk, even as he walked.**

The Covenant Righteousness of YHWH is the Unchanging Standard ... it is the Goal. We are to “walk even as He walked.” In other words , in the same Covenant walk that proved Yahshua’s Eligibility to be that Perfect Sinless Sacrifice. In as much as we are increasingly able ... submit to and are humble enough to ask for the wisdom and the power to do so (John 1:12, James 1:5).

There are more than enough groups out there on both sides of the Torah that talk a great ‘love-grace’ line until you start asking the wrong questions. These Truth seeking questions which are always the most right thing you could possibly ask, are many times met with hostility, especially if you are persistent enough to press for the Truth and are not willing to accept the ‘canned’ standard brush-off answers.

One consistently used derailing device and/or subject switching - deflector shield is the banner of ‘Unity’ stated in different ways including: ... “that’s not edifying, you’re being divisive, we don’t teach that here, that’s not conducive, the big truth and the little truth (which would explain what? ... the big lie and the little lie?), part of a miqva is a miqva, what difference does it make, just as long as we love, we have our own truth, etc.” As stated any group can ‘Unite’ under anything, be it truth or lie or any half or partial portion thereof. The ‘truth-lie’ mix recipes are endless and the willingness to defend them are as resilient. It still remains that part of an evil is still evil; with YHWH through Paul stating that:

Gal.5:9 "A little leaven leaveneth the whole lump"

One particular evening a presenter in response to the previous couple of weeks of my presenting ‘un-before’ tabled considerations, gave a forcefully pointed teaching on ‘Unity’. As the topic of ‘Unity’ itself goes the teaching was quite good. The problem was, as I was able to ask this individual later; who as a result, has ultimately helped me to form this; my standing ‘ecumenical’ question ... “At what point do we ever have the right to; negate, suspend, twist, fabricate, alter, misapply, add to, ignore, blend, dilute, pollute or any other way manipulate any portion thereof, from ‘The Word of YHWH’ to be ‘Like Minded’ or to have ‘Unity’?” This individual (as many others) realizing the correct answer did not want to give it ... that correct answer from Scripture is ... **NEVER!!!** ... (Dt.4:2/ Rev.22:18).

Unity for unity's sake at any cost is not the goal, Unity in the Truth is! Anything less than the unbridled pursuit of Scriptural Truth wherever that leads is at best the misapplication of the Scriptural concept of 'Unity' (John 17:17). Still there will be those that are not swayed by the technicalities of an unpolluted Truth. Scripture would call these ones -"Blind Guides"(1Tm.2:4). In a 'nutshell'; if we would walk and worship in authentic Scriptural 'Unity', it will be based on rightly divided Scripture; it can be No other way. The attainment of 'Unity', 'Wisdom', 'Knowledge', 'Righteousness', etc. on this side of the Kingdom of YHWH; will be in the pursuit thereof ... ever increasing, ever expanding only to be fully realized when the Kingdom of YHWH is fully revealed and has at that time, in fact as a reality fully come.

Rom.15:6 "That ye may with **one mind** and **one mouth** glorify YHWH, even the Father of Yahshua our Messiah."

2 Cor.13:11 "Finally, brethren, farewell. **Be perfect**, be of good comfort, be of **one mind**, live in peace; and the YHWH of love and peace shall be with you."

Php.1:27 "Only let your conversation be as **it becometh the gospel** of Yahshua : that whether I come and see you, or else be absent, I may hear of your affairs, that ye stand fast in **one spirit**, with **one mind** striving **together for the faith** of the gospel;"

Php.2:2 "Fulfill ye my joy, that ye be **likeminded**, having the **same love**, being of **one accord**, of **one mind**."

1 Peter 3:8 "Finally, be ye all of **one mind**, having compassion one of another, love as brethren, be pitiful, be courteous:"

There is, however, Biblically another "One Mind" position

Rev.17:13 "These have **one mind**, and **shall give** their power and strength **unto the beast**."

This "**one mind**" continually will be at odds with Yahshua, His Gospel., His Covenant and His Covenant Followers ... This "**one mind**" is already alive.

Rev.17:14 "These shall make war with the Lamb, and the Lamb shall overcome them: for he is Sovereign of sovereigns, and King of kings: and they that are with him are called, and chosen, and faithful."

Is it Scriptural 'unity' to not believe or do part, half or most of the Truth? How can any of us in the name of integrity and clear conscience towards YHWH intelligently acquiesce/settling to do so? Many people have asked in different ways "Is it an issue of Salvation?", "Why does it matter?", "Is this a Salvational issue?" The answer is YES! What is really being asked is; 'What is the lowest common denominator?' What is the bare minimum? What do I bare bones have to do to barely comply and still be Saved? The Apostle Peter answers this way:

1Peter 4:18 "And if the righteous scarcely be saved,
where shall the ungodly and the sinner appear?"

Titus 3:9 "But avoid **foolish** disputes, genealogies,
contentions, and strivings about (the Covenant?) ...
about the law! ...; for they are unprofitable and
useless.¹⁰ Reject a divisive man after the first and
second admonition ¹¹ knowing (How? ... By
'rightly divided' Scripture?) that such a person
is warped and sinning, being self-condemned"

What happens in those all too frequent cases when these 'self-commending ones' ignoring 'rightly divided' Scripture and the ones that would present it consistently; are the quote/un-quote church?

2Cor.10:12 "For we dare not class ourselves or
compare ourselves with those who commend
themselves. But they, measuring themselves by
themselves, and comparing themselves among
themselves, are not wise."

Isaiah 5:21 "Woe unto them that are wise in their
own eyes, and prudent in their own sight!"

Heb.2:11 For both He who sanctifies and those who
are **being** sanctified are all of one, for which
reason He is not ashamed to call them brethren,

Please realize that the "He who sanctifies" both initiated, is pictured in and keeps the 'Appointed Times'; as in '(I) the son of man am YHWH of the Shabbat' (Mk.2:28, Dt.5:14). Remember "Lord" from the Old Testament hides the name Yahweh and the concept of 'Shabbat (or Sabbath)' is equally from the Old Testament.

Salvation is much more than just squeaking by at the lowest level of compliance. Is it salvation to be 'baled out' from under a huge money debt? ... I'm certain we would all agree that would be a 'Yes'. Is it Salvation to stay in that 'baled out' state for the rest of your life; neither owing nor having anything extra? ... I'm certain we would all agree that would be a 'No'. That would be a pitiful position to perpetually exist in. Salvation is

a walk, an ever increasing walk of growth and discovery, of realizations and compliance, of struggle to let go of the error and embrace the 'Truth' wherever, whenever found. My question to you is; 'When does Salvation quit?' Salvation is ongoing; Salvation is life long. Salvation is NOT a one time for all time bestowment. Salvation is for all time if we continue to walk in and grow in Salvation (Rom 11:22).

If we continue to walk in Salvation we will continue to learn and grow in Salvation (2Pt.3:18). However we cannot 'learn and grow' properly, if we are not presented with the Truth ... If we cannot or will not; either by blindness or arrogance, consider the Truth. That process will be stymied ... in many ways arrested if we ... when we ... accept at face value the apologetics (explanations) that have effectively explained away the Truth and legitimized the protected error. This Salvation process will be arrested when any of us defend instead of support what we think we know. However this is another one of those areas that defy being tied up in a nice neat little box, with all the edges straightly, squarely and neatly cut with no trailing loose strings. If you want to prove the notion of 'just squeaking by' ... there are some few Bible verses that can be appealed to such as:

Mt 5:19 "Whosoever therefore shall break one of these least commandments, and shall teach men so, he shall be called the least in the kingdom of heaven: but whosoever shall do and teach them, the same shall be called great in the kingdom of heaven."

The Idea being that to be "called the least **in** the kingdom of heaven", requires that you be "**in** the kingdom of heaven" to "be called the least **in**".

Acts 17:30 "And the times of this ignorance YHWH winked at; but now commandeth all men every where to repent:"

The Idea being that innocent "ignorance" will be overlooked, which very much may be the case. The thought being 'you cannot be judged on something you did not know any thing about', which again I (for my own family's sake among others) would want to very much agree. Yet we all have to realize that He is Sovereign and I (each one of us) am not. BUT willful ignorance; whether it be by defiant arrogance, conscious decision, defending effort or indifferent apathy (being lazy in the Scriptural arena) is neither innocent nor harmless and very much is a decision!

So many that are willing to call themselves Christians are happy to just be 'spoon fed' what ever is being served up. The story about an Athlete and his Coach fits well here. The Coach being frustrated asks 'What is it with you ... Ignorance or Apathy? The golden boy answers ... I don't know and I don't care. Believers are to make a difference; you can't give what you don't have and you won't have it unless you go get it. The rubber has to meet the road. For if we say we are 'Believers' ... well guess what there are a variety of Bible verses that direct us to:

Acts 17:11 “These were more noble than those in Thessalonica, in that they received the word with all readiness of mind, and **searched the scriptures daily**, whether those things were so.”

2Pt.3:18 “But **grow** in grace, **and in the knowledge** of our YHWH and Saviour Yahshua Messiah. To Him be glory both now and for ever. Amen.”

2Tm.2:15 “**Study** to shew thyself approved unto YHWH, a workman that needeth not to be ashamed, **rightly dividing the word** of truth.”

These three verses alone show that we are to test, grow and teach. Do you then really think it wise to just get by? Do you really think it wise to just skim past by the skin of your teeth? How wise is it to bank on your ability to guess at how much margin of error we actually don't have?

1Peter 4:18 “And if the righteous **scarcely** be saved, where shall the ungodly **and** the sinner appear?”

1Cor.15:34 “Awake to righteousness, and sin not; for some have not the knowledge of YHWH: I speak this to your shame.”

For there are those that are;

2Tm.3:7 “Ever learning, and never able to come to the knowledge of the truth.”

Rom.2:20 “An instructor of the foolish, a teacher of babes, which hast the **form** of knowledge **and** of the **truth in the law.**” ... (and/or the lack of it)

We must remember:

2 Peter 1:5 “ ... , giving all diligence, **add** to **your faith** virtue; and to virtue **knowledge;**”

Heb 10:26 “For if we sin wilfully after that we have received the knowledge of the truth, there remaineth no more sacrifice for sins,”

Heb.4:1 “Therefore, since a **promise remains** of entering His rest, let us fear lest any of you seem

to have come short of it. 2 For indeed **the gospel was** preached **to us** as well as to **them**; but the word which they heard did not profit them, not being mixed with faith <pistis> (fidelity) in those who heard it.3 For we who have believed **do** enter that rest, as He has said: "So I swore in My wrath, 'They shall not enter My rest,' (Nu.14:23, 30 - Ps.95:10-11;)" although the works were **finished from the foundation** of the world.

From the same Paul who wrote:

Rom 4:16 “ Therefore it is of faith <pistis> (Heb.4:2) that it might be according to grace, so that the promise might be sure to all the seed, not only to those who are of the law, but also to those who are of **the faith of Abraham**, who is the father of us all.”

Note: Both of these verses Heb 4:1-3 and Rom 4:16 effectively **exclude** Sunday and non-Torah Calendar Holidays i.e. Xmas, Easter and the like as a Scriptural “**foundation** of the world”- “**faith of Abraham**” option.

Also take note of the ‘to us’ part and realize that Paul was speaking of his then living contemporaries and the ‘gospel’ they followed, obviously intending that we ‘should still be’ following the same. This is the “faith which was once delivered unto the saints” (Jude 1:3). This verse clearly identifies **‘the gospel’ that ‘was’ “finished from the foundation** of the world”. It is more than evident Paul most certainly was **NOT** speaking of modern 21 century man as ‘us’ who follows a gospel perverted, butchered and re-directed since the foundation of ‘Constantine’ continually re-asserted, re-validated and re-morphed by a parade of others. The ‘us’ of post ‘Y2K’ of largely apostate man (that includes wayward anemic ‘all grace-no law Christians’); cannot in any stretch of any honest imagination be compared to ... nor is discernibly comparable to the ‘us’ contemporary to Paul’s day.

That is; the ‘us’ of the then still living Paul standing there audibly speaking to the hearing of his converts and contemporaries Scripturally called ‘us’; followed the same Gospel that the Egyptian Israelite Slaves at the base of Mt. Sinai ‘agreed’ to and thereby agreed to follow. The same ones that wandered the Sinai Desert for 40 years for disobedience (Heb 3:18, Num.14:30; Deut.1:34-35); this is the group that is identified in Heb.3; labeled as ‘them’ in Heb.4 that Paul irrefutably compares his contemporaries to as having had the “Same” Gospel “**finished from the foundation** of the world” preached to ... This is the New Testament ‘us’. When you really think of it this Heb.4 picture doesn’t much look like the 21 century ‘us’ following Sunday, Christmas, Easter, Ash Wednesday, Halloween, etc. at the expense of the ‘Appointed-Times’ ... now does it? Even so we are acting out the ‘**disobedience**’ part though different pretty accurately.

Heb 4:4 For He has spoken in a certain place of the **seventh day** in this way: "And YHWH rested on the seventh day from all His works" (Gen.2:3); 5 and again in this place: "They shall not enter My rest. (Ps.95:10-11)" 6 Since therefore it **remains** that some must enter it, and those to whom it was **first** preached did not enter because of **disobedience**,"

Remember- 'remain' can only be 'remain' on the strength of being established before!

Heb 4:9 "There **remaineth** therefore a ("Sabbath" - NIV) rest to the people of YHWH. 10 For he that is entered into His rest, he also hath ceased from his own works, **as** YHWH did from His (Gen.2:3). 11 Let us labour therefore to enter into **that** rest, lest any man fall after the **same** example of unbelief."

2 Peter 1:21 "... for prophecy never came by the will of man, but Set-Apart men of YHWH spoke as they were moved by the Spirit of YHWH."

Please reread Heb.4:2 and compare with V:6 ... then compare that to Psalms 95:10-11... Imagine ... YHWH the same One who framed and hung the universe (Job 9:8-10; Amos 5:8) ... that pronounced over the Sun, Moon and Stars at Creation (Gen.1:14; 2:3) the same One who has said "I change not" (Mal 3:6) was actually able to cause the original ancient Exodus Israelite Egyptian slaves to be presented with the one in the very SAME Gospel that at the very least included the Sabbath and Feastdays? Maybe even more ... go figure. Clearly this ... part of Paul's picture of 'Unity' is one that most post-Constantine and modern religionists ignore. Now read again what Paul had to say to his then living contemporaries and converts;

2 Cor.11:4 For if he who comes preaches another Messiah (presented as doing and speaking against Torah) whom we have **not** preached, or if you receive a different spirit (assuring you against Torah) which you have not received, or a **different** gospel (that ignores Covenant Commandment Law) which you have not accepted you **may** well put up with it.

Parenthetical insertions mine to make this point ... 'may'? ... We most certainly have!

There is yet another point of 'Unity' that hides behind the facade of being the barrel-chested 'Defender of the Faith' that (again) actually is a 'reverse projection' lodging the charge of making things "complicated". In actuality these Torah Covenant Teachings that included the Sabbath and Feastdays rallied for some 250 years (plus or minus) after the death and ascension of the resurrected Messiah; devaluing fairly rapidly after the time of Constantine (and a sucessional pantheon of others). In no uncertain terms it is this 1700 plus years of error taught as Truth ... accepted by and reintroduced by the churches that has irreversibly "complicated" the matter.

This polluted 'replica' of truth is not the pure Scriptural Truth, and is many times easily exposed as such. It is the polluted recipe mix of lies, imaginations, errors; half, twisted and misrepresented 'truth' fabrications; accepted and redirected pagan and non-scriptural practices mixed in with ... (many times in place of) ... Authentic Scripture that itself many times is at best partially and selectively applied. The collective composite total of all of this has been woven into the fabric that is church ... that is society ... that is family ... that is personal thought ... that is personal belief ... Walla ... The Assumption ... opps ... I mean ... 'The Faith'?. Satan has done a truly wonder filled job (2Cor.11:13-15) and 95% of the religious crowd has bought it. It is so supremely comedic ... Yet ... it is so supremely sad ... it is so supremely tragic; to have all of this under your nose and step right in to the middle of it anyway ... no wonder YHWH has compared us to the intelligence level of sheep (Isaiah 53:6).

'Unity' is not the same in concept or function as "Uniformity". From what I have personally seen, witnessed and have been made aware of, it is quite the pitiable 'Catch 22' ... (a position of damned if ya do and damned if ya don't) for those that have made a career administrating a church, assembly or religious outlet drawing a wage, insurance benefits and a retirement package; especially if they have made a name for themselves in the process, to discover a Truth that has the potential to change, disrupt and jeopardize everything; including family and established friend circles should they ever uphold that Truth let alone teach it.

The hierarchy of that particular 'denomination' and/or conference usually has required an oath to uphold the quote unquote ... "truth" ... that has long time been denominational established. My guess is that this would be one of the most fundamental of administrator terrors to actually consider disrupting your financial base ... and all the more if this 'administrating one' should be closer to the end of this 'career'. Further in addition also to be aware of and ponder your administrator responsibilities and these consequences before YHWH ... that is to His Truth, and your administrator potential for fundamental loss having to realize that this fear comes from the lack of personal faith in the One you are suppose to instill in others. What's more is realizing your administrator responsibility to these 'others' that have been your audience often times for decades against your own personal loss ... intelligently knowing no one can hide anything from YHWH. For this reason most administrators (be they Pastors, Rabbis, Ministers or Teachers) that will listen with interest continue to sit on the fence. The Bible puts it this way:

1Kings 18:21 “And Elijah came unto all the people,
and said, How long halt ye between two opinions?
if YHWH be Elohim, follow him: but if Baal, then
follow him ...”

Acts 5:29 “But Peter and the other apostles answered and
said: ‘We ought to obey (YHWH) rather than men’.”

On the issue of the 7th Day Sabbath and the Annual Sabbath Feasts; I have gone to
enough of these ‘administering ones’ to realize that change and mass awareness almost
certainly will not come from these that would be gainfully employed, vocational, or
position and/or ‘good-name’ protecting “Shepards”. It is for this reason my heart’s
prayer is that this information finds its way through the churches and assemblies from the
grass roots up.

The Denominated Churches have for all their individual differences nonetheless
found a very real ‘Unity’; that of abandoning Covenant with its Covenant Laws. Some
have managed to hold on to the Covenant 7th Day Sabbath divorced from ... at the
exclusion of the Father’s Covenant Name and Covenant Annual Feasts. It is these
exclusions that in reality nonetheless identify these Sabbath Church groups with this very
same existing Denominated Church non-Covenant ‘Unity’; be it broken, ignored,
counterfeited or in any other way half or partially kept. Conversely the Torah Observant
Assemblies are not so easily assessed, while on one level they do have a certain Torah
‘Unity’; observing the Covenant 7th Day Sabbath, and Covenant Annuals; yet some
depending on what group retain the ineffability (sacred non-pronunciation) of the
Father’s Covenant Name ... ‘Yahweh’.

It gets more divisively complicated from here; of the issues involved the one that
is most pertinent to this Chapter and based solidly in Scripture, even involving ‘the
Church’ ... is the bringing together ... the re-gathering of all 12 Tribes, coined in very
resent years as “The Two House Theory”, This ‘re-gathering’ is based in Old Testament
prophesy concerning the divided nation of Israel (1Kings Chapters 11-14), and the
subsequent divorce (Jer.3:8) and scattering of the 10 Northern Tribes, ultimately being
brought back together with Southern Tribes by the Will and Hand of YHWH.

Ezek. 37:16 “Moreover, thou son of man, take thee
one stick, and write upon it, For Judah, and for
the children of Israel his companions: then take
another stick, and write upon it, For Joseph, the
stick of Ephraim, and for **all** the house of Israel
his companions: 17 And join them one to another
into one stick; and they shall become
one in thine hand.”

Jer.31:10 “Hear the word of YHWH, O ye nations,
and declare it in the isles afar off, and say, He that

scattered Israel **will gather** him, and keep him, as a shepherd doth his flock.”

Seems pretty strait forward; however there are those of the different groups particularly of the Messianic Judaism groups that not only ignore the viability of this prophesy, they teach against it, denouncing and discrediting both the message and at times those that would be messenger, with some articles and presentations being down right demeaning and vicious¹ served up in a syrup of humble sweetness. I guess they don't see themselves pictured in this prophecy either:

Isaiah 11:13 “The envy also of Ephraim shall depart, and the adversaries of Judah shall be cut off: Ephraim shall not envy Judah, and Judah shall not vex <tsarar> Ephraim.”

06887. rru tsarar, tsaw-rar'

a primitive root; **to cramp**, literally or figuratively, transitive or intransitive (as follows):-**adversary**, (be in) afflict(-ion), beseige, **bind (up)**, (be in, bring) distress, enemy, narrower, oppress, pangs, **shut up**, be in a strait (trouble), **vex**.

These next verses are of particular ‘Two House’ ‘Unity’ interest for it identifies; the house of Judah, the house of Israel and the **hosts of nations** which both has New Testament and Torah awareness (Gen 48:19).

Jer.3:18 “In those days the house of Judah shall walk **with** the house of Israel, and they shall come together out of the land of the north to the land that I have given for an inheritance unto your fathers. 19 But I said, How shall I put thee among the children <ben>, and give thee a pleasant land, a goodly heritage of the hosts <tsaba'> of nations<gowy>? and I said, you shall call me, My Father; and shall not turn away from me.”

06635. abu tsaba', tsaw-baw'

or (feminine) tsbadah {tseb-aw-aw'}; from 6633; **a mass of persons** (or figuratively, things), especially reg. organized for war (an army); by implication, a campaign, literally or figuratively (specifically, hardship, **worship**):--**appointed time**, (+) army, (+) battle, **company**, **host**, service, soldiers, waiting upon, war(-fare).

This prophesy is an ‘oath’ ... an of YHWH Himself Covenanted Promise to all of us through all that is “the commonwealth of (ALL) Israel” (Eph.2:12). As touched on in Chapter 5, this dedicated phrase ‘the fullness of the gentiles’ i.e. comparable to “the hosts of nations” does have some reoccurring precedence that very much indicates far reaching implications ... certainly an expanded awareness that most are not even yet privy to. Please notice that the words; ‘host’, ‘multitude’ and ‘fullness’ are favorable even comparable and in fact arguably describing the same thing.

Rom. 11:25 “ ... that blindness in part has happened to Israel until ‘the **fullness** of the **Gentiles**’ has come in.”

Come in to what? ... the Commonwealth Israel and the Covenant Promises thereof (Eph.2:12)... directly from the blessing that Jacob/Israel placed on Ephraim, the younger of Joseph’s two sons; Manasseh and Ephraim, adopting them both as his own son’s, with the full rights as sons saying:

Gen. 48:19 “ ... but truly his younger brother shall be greater than he, and his seed shall become a multitude <m@lo’> (**fullness**) of nations <gowy> (**gentiles**).”
{multitude: Heb. fullness}

As previously stated, “This ‘fullness’ of the ‘gentiles’ therefore of prophetic necessity involves all the Covenants of Israel in particular the “Covenants of Promise” (Eph.2:12) i.e. the Covenants predicated on the Abraham Promise. Although it is not the direct focus of this book, this ‘fullness of the Gentiles’ raises an interesting awareness. As stated the blessing of the ‘double portion’ was usually given to the eldest of the family for the dedicated purpose of being the directing head of that family; conducting/mediating family business/affairs, especially in regards to the considerations of the aged Patriarch and Matriarch/s, including final arrangements (Gen.47:29-31).

We see many instances that YHWH has picked a younger family member over an older; Abel over Cain, Isaac over Ishmael, Jacob over Esau, Joseph over his brothers, Ephraim over Manasseh, David over his brothers, etc. What is of supreme interest here is that this same younger Ephraim blessed as being ‘the fullness of the gentiles’ was given with his brother Manasseh through his father Joseph, that same ‘double portion’ to be that directing head of the 12 Tribe Family/House of Israel. Which is not so amazing given that Joseph was placed by Pharaoh to be second only to Pharaoh in command over the entire Kingdom of Egypt. Would you want any of these other 11 nei'r-do-wells to conduct your family’s business in the face of the ability, position and success that YHWH had unmistakably bestowed on Joseph? As stated; I am certain this has far reaching implications beyond the confines of our topic.

So we have an interesting panorama; of the pronouncements of the 12 sons of Israel; Judah was given Kingship (Gen.49:8-10), Levi (through Aaron) was ultimately given the office of the Priesthood (Ex 40:13-15), Joseph was given the ‘double portion’ of ‘headship-birthright’ and Ephraim, adopted as a full Son given family dominance of that ‘headship-birthright’ was Blessed as the <malo gowy> or “**fullness of the Gentiles**” (Gen.48:11-22). The “**fullness of the Gentiles**” is then unmistakably of the pre-split 12 Tribe Family/House of Israel, and therefore lends itself heavily to the verification of the so-called “Two House Theory”, pointing to the post-mended 12 Tribe Family/House of Israel. [Note: If you follow the lineage of the Risen Messiah; through such ones as Tamar and Judah, Naomi, Ruth and Boaz, Rahab, Jesse, David and Bathsheba, etc; you will find they have all come together in such a way that Yahshua is the legitimate heir and embodiment of : the ‘Birthright- Headship’, the ‘Kingship’ and the Melchizedek

‘Priestship’ (Ps 110:4, Heb.5:6,10)]. Clearly this embodies the Family ‘Birthright-Headship’ to include the returning Ephraimite, the repentant ‘gow`em’, the repentant from both the ‘House of Israel and the House of Judah, the national Israelite ‘Kingship’ , the universal Spiritual Melchizedek ‘Priesthood’ and all this besides being and embodying the position of ‘Mediator of the Covenant’ (Heb 8:6, 9:15, 12:24).

The Church having a different agenda not wishing to identify with anything deemed ‘Jewish’ is nonetheless not the only one with a vested interest to denounce this birthright heritage. It becomes logically apparent that those of Judah not limited to but specifically Messianic Judah (as New Testament Believers) demonstrate a reluctant sense of acceptance based on an assumed vacated hence undisputed dominance role now having to be shared with, even relinquished to the returning legitimate ‘headship’ heir through the ‘birthright’ blessing pronounced by Father Jacob/Israel on Ephraim.

Isn’t it interesting that the first place in Scripture the two houses (the House of Judah and the House of Israel) are mentioned outright in the same verse is 1Kings 12:21, and they are fighting ... and such is it still today, although be it behind the scenes so to speak. It is supremely notable that the first place that the full pre-divided 12 tribe House of Israel is mentioned is in the Torah; and that verse Ex 16:31 is the only verse that would be directly under the Melchizedek Priesthood; if only by the sheer virtue of the non-existence of any other (pre- Mt. Sinai) in Torah.

The interest builds upon the realization that the first verse the newly divided out ‘House of Judah’ is mentioned; 2 Sam. 2:4; is directly under a Levitical Priesthood that didn’t fully start till the end of Exodus (Ex.40:13-15), after the “Covenants of Promise” contained in Torah that are NOT “of the Law”; were already delineated/(spelled out), agreed upon and Blood Ratified under that same original Melchizedek Priesthood. Of which at this present time, the New Covenant is also under; reverting back to the original Melchizedek Priesthood through our New Testament Mediator-High Priest ... Yahshua ... who is after the order of Melchizedek (Heb 6:20). Subsequently ‘healing’ that division as promised in Ezekiel 37.

So the next time anyone claiming a Biblical Salvation says to you: “ I’m not Jewish” (which specifically/physically may very well be correct) or “That was for the Jews” or anything in like kind that dismisses a direct full connection to the Whole 12 House Commonwealth of Israel, you will now know it for the error it is; distorting/hiding our (Gentile/Ephraimite) purpose, identity and Israelite birthright heritage.

We also need to be aware that some trying to prove that the tribe of Levi was given the ‘Priesthood’ before the Sinai setting (first mentioned at Ex.28; with the actual installment verbiage found at Ex.40) nevertheless seek to do so also at Genesis 49; which is clearly not there (Gen.49:5). Suggesting that Jacob/Israel had somehow in fact bestowed that office as a pronounced blessing on Levi. Subjectively supposing that Jacob/Israel had that office as Priest to bestow; which is inconclusively un-provable. Be that as it may, the fact that these Genesis 47-48- 49 pronouncements were done so in the land of ‘Goshen’, a possession of Egypt, suggests that the descended Hebrew slaves

could have and probably did retain remembrances of YHWH's law as handed down by Abraham. Moses as was his older brother Aaron were of the lineage of 'Levi'; however we most certainly know that even though Judah was given the 'Kingship', there were no Jewish Kings during the Egyptian captivity, Joseph did not yet have the double portion birthright possession in Egypt, and even if you could lodge a viable case for Levi or the Levites being given the 'Priesthood' at or before Sinai; in like kind there clearly were no Israelite Priests let alone Levitical Priests functioning in any capacity in Egypt ever. Having said that, see this telling quote concerning that further evidences the unmistakable divide of Melkizedec and Levitical law.

Ex.13:9 "And it shall be for a sign unto thee upon thine hand,
and for a memorial between thine eyes, that **YHWH'S**
law may be in thy mouth: for with a strong hand hath
YHWH brought thee out of Egypt."

This verse both confirms and dispels; drawing in a variety of issues:

1] This "Lords law" (KJV) i.e. 'Law of God' i.e. YHWH'S law i.e. 'Law of YHWH' i.e. "My Law" from Genesis to Revelation is identically the very same "Law." ... Old Testament and New Testament!

2] This "Lords law" clearly includes the direct command of the Passover and Feast Days of Unleavened Bread evidenced by both chapters 12 and 13.

3] This "Lords law" most certainly included all Sabbath concerns.

4] This "Lords law" of crystal clear self manifested evidence did not have a ghost of a chance to be shoehorned under ... or confused as Levitical law.

5] This "Lords law" is clearly Melkizedec law. (Gen.14:18 - Heb 7:3)

6] Melkizedec law as this book has shown plainly includes; this "Lords law" (KJV) i.e. 'Law of God' i.e. YHWH'S law i.e. 'Law of YHWH' i.e. "My Law" from Genesis to Revelation that is identically the very same "Law." ... (Old Testament and New Testament) contained within even the Melkizedec New Covenant.

7] These Melkizedec 'Laws of YHWH' are to be remembered for all time Old and New Testament.

8] The Mark of the Beast of Revelation is to be in the forehead and the hand.

9] This 'Mark' in thought and deed includes Satan's Biblical Covenant Feast Day replacements.

10] This "'Mark' - 'Sign' battle" is very ancient ... still alive and very real.

As an additional observation I do find it interesting that Moses himself being a Levite (Ex.4:14) of the 'Tribe of Levi' used beyond debate in a mighty way by YHWH; never once was designated or referred to in any way by YHWH or anyone else as 'priest'. Which I find highly suspect casting additional doubt on the viability of a supposed 'Tribe of Levi' priesthood Gen. 47-48-49 pronouncement; which upon actual reading of these accounts is plainly not there. In fact these accounts reveal just the opposite; the tribe of Levi are lumped together with the Tribe of Simeon and pronounced to be anything but loving obedient priests. I don't know about you, but I don't see anything in this Gen. 49 pronouncement as a ... 'Blessing'?

Gen. 49:5 "Simeon and Levi are brethren; instruments of **cruelty** are in their habitations.:6 O my soul, come not thou into their secret; unto their assembly, mine honour, be **not thou united**: for in their anger they **slew a man**, and in their **self-will** they digged down a wall. 7 **Cursed** be their **anger**, for it was **fierce**; and their **wrath**, for it was **cruel**: I will **divide them** in Jacob, and **scatter them** in Israel."

Be that as it may, even though these Gen. 47-48-49 pronouncements are prophetic, plainly the fulfillment of them was yet to be possessed, not to be fully realized until they had fully possessed the land. This presupposes a freedom that cannot be expressed as a slave. Which is part and parcel of **Being Under Satan's Yoke** both literal and figurative yet with us still today. We see several things that are to be and must be understood as a successional unfolding of YHWH's plan. We see that these things were fulfilled in a successive timely order revealed and realized in the events of time. This does not change YHWH's plan ... only our perspective of it.

We also see that YHWH's intent as initially stated at the giving of the Book of the Covenant was to make the entire Israelite Nation (including converts) "a kingdom of priests, and a Set-Apart nation" (Ex 19:6), which is exactly the same intent of what we see at 1Peter 2:9 being part and parcel of the New Covenant. Both of these Covenants are Melchizedek Priesthood Covenants; therefore the logical conclusion is that the Priesthood we are being called into both then as now is Melchizedek. This original Sinai Book of the Covenant intent as initially stated was to have Israel to be a Set-Apart (Holy) Melchizedek Priesthood Nation, which arguably would have been the case if they had of not transgressed the Covenant with the 'Golden Calf' incident of Exodus 32.

It must be understood that the intent of these Israelites concerning the 'Golden Calf', was not to set up another 'God' but was in fact to honor YHWH (Ex.32:5). The problem was that this 'Honor' was not in accordance with ... and in fact violated the Covenant they had agreed to just some 40 days earlier (Ex. 19:5-6 thru Ex.24:7-8). Much like what we see and most of us do today. We praise and worship calling it 'honor' to "God" devoid of Covenant knowledge disregarding Covenant obedience. How can you obey and follow what you don't know? What you have or has been already and consistently thrown out? What you don't allow or is not allowed? What is not valued

enough to be researched? This Modern Covenant Void 'Praise and Worship' then is only so in the vain imaginations of our own hearts with a corresponding Exodus 32 ignored Covenant value-worth.

It is of interest and value to note that the first time the word 'Bless' is used in the Bible (Gen.12:2) as a future on going benefit, it is in connection with YHWH's 'oath' to Abraham that eventually brought about the Covenant at Abraham's request (Gen.15:8 and following). As merely a submitted personal observation point of interest; upon studying the accounts between Gen12 and Gen15; I couldn't help but suspect that YHWH would have kept telling Abraham about the ways He was going to bless him until ... for the purpose of ... emboldening Abraham to request a sign of surety that led to the Gen.15 forging of the 'Covenant of Promise'. Be that as it may it is supremely notable that EVERY time the word 'Bless' is used; from the first time in Genesis to the end of Exodus, it is specifically exclusively attached directly to the events of the very same 'Two' ... Abraham 'Promise' and Sinai Book of the Covenant 'Inheritance' Covenants that Paul identifies in Galatians 3:18. (Note: the words 'blessed' and 'blessing' - while not exhibiting the same exclusivity are nonetheless impressively linked to these same 'Two' Covenants.)

This is impressive evidence that the word 'Bless' and its variants are primarily linked to Covenant ... both our Keeping of it and Yahweh's Faithful (Amen) execution of Covenant. This approaches very closely to what we have learned in Chapter 4 about 'Mercy' from the Hebrew <chesed>; being that "there can be no <chesed> without a Covenant first". I would submit that since 'Mercy' is beyond debate realized to be a 'Blessing'; and at that for everything the word 'Bless' can mean, it's Covenant connection is equally beyond dispute.

So in the name of 'Unity', where do we go from here? ... I would submit first of all that if we thought of Covenant correctly, many of these 'other' things would take care of themselves. Being that these 'other things', many of them such as; religious planks, platforms, bylaws, statement of beliefs, jewelry codes, apparel codes, food codes, grooming codes, baptismal codes, music styles and various instrument notions, association codes (and/or varied mixes thereof), Denomination distinctions (Both Church and Assembly), etc., up to and including the elitist affirmed ideas of "we're the ones" can be identified as attempts to fill the sense of loss left by a very real Covenant Void ... including not properly distinguishing what is Covenant; not to mention the abysmal actions associated with 'some' of the various Religious Administrators and those they lead, **not** walking in integrity to a "rightly divided" Law and thereby **not** teaching a 'rightly understood' Covenant.

It is glaringly apparent at this point that if the Denominated Sunday Churches and the 'Para-Torah' Churches (Sabbath 'only' Keepers) would "rightly divide" Scripture, fully factoring back in the Covenant with its Covenant Names, Laws, Sabbaths, Annual Feasts and Memorials ... And the Torah Observant Assemblies would also "rightly divide" fully revering the distinctions of Covenant minus and/or at least "rightly" placing those currently revered law, observance and tradition considerations that are Not

Covenant. It is self-evident that if this was to happen; that **both** of these groups would find themselves standing on the same Covenant! As stated I did not set out to prove “The Two House Theory⁵”. However the Biblical and Covenant evidence, both specific and peripheral conclusively unmistakably points in that direction.

Yahshua said at John 14:6 “I am the way, the truth, and the life: **no man** cometh unto the Father, but by me.” As others have pointed out; ‘Either Yahshua was exactly who he said he was or he is precisely nothing ... he cannot be just a ‘good’ man and make the claims he made, either these claims are true or they are not’. Either Yahshua is the Son of the Most High ... or He is not, at best a good liar. John 14:6 is unmistakably makes it plain that there are Not many ways to the Father there is only one, still this is not exclusionary for ‘whosoever will’ (Mark 8:34, Rev 22:17) may come through that ‘one way’. John 14:6 also unmistakably makes it plain that there is only one Life and one Truth. Yahshua embodies that one Way, that one Life, that one Truth. We all start out in life at different places with many hurts, disappointments, twists and turns along the way; however when we make that decision to repent and accept the Messiah, the journey is just beginning ... we then accept the Bible, we then as ‘Believers’ are to come to that same Truth or at least be on that path leading to that same Truth.

John 14:26 “But the Comforter, even the Set-Apart (Holy) Spirit, whom the Father will send in my name, he shall teach you **all things**, ... and ... bring to your remembrance all that I said unto you.”

Would there be any doubt that these ‘all things’ would be anything but the same Scriptural ‘all things’? Subject to the Biblical integrity of Biblical Checks and Balances ... “searching the Scriptures daily to see if these things [are] so” (Acts 17:11). This integrated message system we call ‘Bible’ does not have a different message for different sinners. If YHWH is the ‘same yesterday, today and forever’ with ‘no shadow of turning’ being ‘no respecter of persons’ speaking the ‘end from the beginning’, we are assured that what was ... is what is ... i.e. the ‘same’. This Set-Apart Spirit will teach you these same Scriptural ‘all things’ only to the point you will allow it. Presupposing you have read your Bible to be able to have a remembrance. That is; how can you scripturally ‘remember’ something if you did not read or hear of it first? For the word also says:

Deut. 4:29 "seek YHWH..., and you will find Him if you seek Him with all your heart and with all your soul.”

Comparable to:

Mat.6:33 “seek ye first the kingdom of YHWH, and His righteousness; and all these things shall be added unto you.”

There is a particular situation that is very apparent once you understand it. It has to do with Abraham's Covenant that directly affects the Sinai Covenant and the notion that All of Orthodox Judaism, most of Messianic Judaism, some of Messianic Israel (not excluding the likelihood of others) currently hold; that will affect the current understanding of most of the Denominated Churches on this subject as well. It has to do with expanding the awareness from Chapter 2; offering an explanation of the progressional move of some Church converts to Messianic Judaism ultimately to renounce the Messiah and the New Covenant embracing Orthodox Judaism. The situation being "that some of Messianic Judaism and even some of Messianic Israel as well still hold to the idea of a yet unbroken - thus current Sinai Covenant postulation, for only the House of Judah as they assert that the House of Judah was never divorced or put 'away' as the House of Israel was. Here is both what Yahshua said and no doubt why He said it:

Luke13:34 "O Jerusalem, Jerusalem, which killest the prophets, and stonest them that are sent unto thee; how often would I have gathered thy children together, as a hen doth gather her **brood under her wings**, and ye would not! 35 **Behold, your house is left unto you desolate**: and verily I say unto you, Ye shall not see me, until the time come when ye shall say, Blessed is he that cometh in the name of Yahweh."

Jer.7:15 "And I will cast you (the House of Judah v:2) out of my sight, as I have cast out all your brethren, even the whole seed of Ephraim."

Note: "Ephraim" ... through the 'Birthright' headship of Joseph (Gen.48:17-20); is the divorced Northern 'House of Israel'.

Orthodox Judaism does not revere, acknowledge or accept Yahshua as the Messiah. Orthodox Judaism does not esteem, acknowledge or accept Yahshua's crucifixion as the death of the Covenant Husband ... Their Covenant Husband; for that reason, asserting an unbroken from Sinai Covenant. Many Messianics agree with that position as well. Not for themselves as Messianics accepting Yahshua as Messiah per-se, but for Orthodox Judaism who does not. The point to be understood is that the Hebrew word for covenant is <b@riyth>. It pictures the Abraham Covenant, particularly the Ratification proceedings of Genesis 15.

We know that...<b@riyth> means the '**cutting**' of the '**covenant**'. As will be explained in Chapter 7; the one (or ones) who walked through the halved animals basically signified that if he (they) did not abide by, carry out or otherwise perform the agreement of covenant that he (they) would meet with the same fate as those slaughtered halved animals; that is this Covenant if ever broken had consequences. As stated YHWH passing through those pieces took this singularly upon Himself; regardless of Abraham's or His descendants' inability, fully aware of this said 'inability'. Flat out, YHWH was

accepting the pain of that kind of death for the non-performance breaking of Covenant regardless of who broke the Covenant. That my friend is 'Grace' and another of the missing factor reasons of/for YHWH given 'Grace'. This is EXACTLY what happened at Yahshua's crucifixion at Calvary/Golgotha and why it happened; it is all start to finish Abraham Promise Covenant related thru and through.

Heb.8:8 "For **finding fault with them**, he saith, Behold, the days come, saith YHWH, when I will make a new covenant with the house of Israel **and** with the house of Judah:"

Jer.31:31 "Behold, the days come, saith the LORD, that I will make a new covenant with the house of Israel, **and** with the house of Judah: 32 "**Not** according to the covenant that I made with **their fathers** in the day that I took **them** by the hand to bring **them** out of the land of Egypt; which my covenant **they brake**, although I was an husband unto **them**, saith YHWH:"

This then is the follow-through awareness of this situation: ... YHWH accepted the death for Abraham and All of Israel (as Abraham's descendants Gen.17:7) if ever breaking Abraham's Covenant which included the subsequent answer to that Covenant ... the Inheritance Sinai Katubah Marriage Covenant, as previously explained. When you realize that Yahshua as the substitute 'Lamb of YHWH' was paying this price for the Gen.15 Covenant Breaking ... what is the obvious? The Sinai Covenant had been BROKEN! The Sinai Covenant was the 'inheritance' surety insuring the continued 'Promise' of Abraham's Covenant which was now also by Abraham's 'Descendants' ... BROKEN! ... as in null and void. For the sake of crystal clarity, this breaking of the Inheritance Sinai Katubah Marriage Covenant which was now the broken answer to Abraham's Promise Covenant; rendered that 'Promise' hence that Covenant BROKEN! Again, both the Abraham Promise Covenant and the Abraham 'Inheritance' Covenant of Mt. Sinai were/are Broken ... as in null and void.

The proof is the already presented Scriptures that say just exactly that and the fact that Yahshua the spotless lamb representative of YHWH, died that death ... died the death for the breaking Covenant with Abraham or more correctly Abrahams Descendants breaking the Covenant YHWH had made with Abraham **and** these said Descendants (Gen.17:7; Heb.8:8). As stated many things happened and intersected at the crucifixion. Many things also intersected at Yahshua's Death. Yahshua died the death for the adulterous bride. Yahshua died the death for the lawless rebellious Son. Yahshua died the death for the sin of the whole world. Yahshua died the death for Breaking Covenant ... specifically Abraham's Covenant ... laterally from the breaking of the Sinai Marriage Covenant. This my friends includes the Covenant 'sign' of these Covenants ... 'Physical Circumcision' ... (Both the Abraham Promise Covenant and the Abraham 'Inheritance' Covenant of Mt. Sinai) which was only attached to these same 2 Covenants. After all the

Covenant sign of a broken Covenant is meaningless and is itself broken being the sign of a now broken Covenant.

I would like to submit to you the reader an observational summation that answers the who did what when and why question concerning the logistics between the Mt. Sinai Covenant and the New Covenant as Marriage Covenants and the Biblical reason why the Sinai Covenant can no longer be viable/valid.

First; the Bible says that at no time has any man ever seen Father Yahweh, who dwells in inapproachable light (Dan.2:22; John 1:18, 6:46; Titus 6:16), if this is so, then the only one that Adam, Moses and Abraham could have seen was the pre-incarnate Son of YHWH (John 1:1-5) ... the pre-'made flesh' Yahshua ... the same one that Peter, James and John saw during his ministry 'transfigured' to His (assumable) original state (Mt.17:2, Mk.9:2-3, Lk.9:28-29). The Covenant as brokered at Sinai was done so with Moses as mediator 'representing' Israel-the people. Arguably; the only one he could have 'seen' (Ex 33:20) was this same 'pre-incarnate Son of YHWH'; who Himself is the 'representing' of YHWH (John 10:30).

It then becomes reasonable to see that the 'pre-incarnate Son of YHWH' and Moses were brokering the betrothal Marriage 'Katubah' between YHWH the Father and Israel-the people at that Mt. Sinai setting. We know Biblically according to Paul that a married bride and/or a divorced bride cannot legally marry another unless the husband dies (Rom.7:2, 1Cor.7:39). The New Testament current plan of Salvation (as was ancient animal sacrifices) is based on the Biblically legal premise of 'substitution' ... that is Yahshua died the death we should have died in our place that we might have life (John 20:31, Rom.5:6-8, 14:9, 1Cor.15:3). If accepted and claimed (Rom.10:9-10); Yahshua's crucifixion was/is our 'substitutionary' death; Yahshua's crucifixion was also Father YHWH's 'substitutionary' death who had accepted that penalty for Abraham and his descendants at Gen.15. Of which Abraham's descendants did break covenant (Jer.31:32).

The fact of this 'death' confirms that the Abraham Covenant and the direct fulfillment of the Sinai Marriage Covenant directly linked to Abraham's Covenant are both broken as in null and void freeing all parties. This being so allows all parties to 'Marry' another, being that now Yahshua the Son of YHWH is the Covenant Husband (Rev.19:7-9) of the New Covenant (Jer.31:31-33, Heb.8:8-10, Lk.22:20) that laterally/collectively re-unites all Israel and converts by this New Covenant, the only current viable Marriage Covenant. There will be those that resiliently insist the 'New' of New Covenant means 're-New-ed'; and it is, I have made that point in this book myself; however the concept difference is the insinuating that the Sinai Covenant is merely re-upped, re-asserted, re-framed as in actually, functionally, literally the same. This cannot be so for Jer.31:32 plainly tells us that the New Covenant will **not** be like the Old. Yet it is the Calvary Marriage Covenant that stands in the place of the broken Sinai Marriage Covenant for the same ultimate purpose and function, but this time to marry Spiritual Israel-Abraham's Spiritual descendants with a Spiritually circumcised heart, keeping YHWH's Spiritual Covenant Laws to be 'equally yoked' in YHWH's Spiritual Covenant Family.

The fact that YHWH the Father was ‘Married’ to Israel at Mt. Sinai probably wouldn’t be news to the Orthodox and many Messianics; even to those who have never pondered an alternate to the question. However I know there are those that would insist that the “pre-‘made flesh’ Yahshua” was **not** the proxy but rather actually the one fulfilling all of these Old Testament considerations including Sinai Covenant Husband; of which I believe with some exceptions many of these considerations are discernibly correct. Among the evidences that I have already given to support my submitted assertion of Yahshua **not** being Covenant Husband until the New Covenant; is the fact that Yahshua the Messiah Himself said at:

John 7:16 “Yahshua answered them, and said, **My doctrine** is **not** mine, but **His that sent me**.

17 If any man will do His will, he shall know of the doctrine, whether it be of YHWH (the Father), or whether I speak of Myself.”

Now compare this to;

Deut.32:2 “**My doctrine** shall drop as the rain, **My speech** shall distil as the dew, as the small rain upon the tender herb, and as the showers upon the grass:”

We know **all** Scripture is ‘**inspired**’ (2Tim.3:16) ... Now the Jack-Pot question is; ... Who in Deut.32:2 is speaking? So it then becomes indisputably clear than the **doctrine** embedded in the Book of the (Marriage) Covenant was YHWH the Father’s; hence the Marriage to YHWH the Father; no matter who you care to believe was actually audibly speaking (Ex 20:22). Or who you care to believe Moses actually saw (Ex 33:22).

Ex.20:22 “And YHWH said unto Moses, Thus thou shalt say unto the children of Israel, Ye have seen that I have talked with you from (Sinai?) ... “from **heaven**”.

When we hear the phrase ‘Lamb of God’ we have an instantaneous mental picture of what we think we know. This ‘Lamb of YHWH (“God”)’ is called ‘the Lamb of YHWH’ for a good reason. At no time is this ‘Lamb of YHWH’ ever called the “Lamb of Israel” or “Lamb of the people” or “Lamb of the world”, etc., but we are to accept this Lamb to be our blood covering and rightly so. ‘Rightly so’ because; YHWH accepts that death in our place, because He accepted this same death in His place - if the Covenant was ever broken regardless of who broke it (Gen.15). As stated at Jer.31; Abraham’s descendants did break it. Now YHWH had to die. YHWH sent His Son Yah’shua (also being of YHWH) as the ‘go`el kinsmen redeemer’ as the ‘proxy’ both to cover the descendants who actually broke the Covenant and to be payment in place of Father YHWH who had gracefully accepted that death penalty (though Guiltless) of Himself.

Gen.15:17 “And it came to pass, that, when the sun went down, and it was dark, behold a smoking furnace, and a burning lamp that passed between those pieces.18 In the same day YHWH made a covenant with Abram, ...” (Gen.12:2; 17:5)

Gen.17:10 This is my covenant, which ye shall keep, between me and you and **thy seed** after thee; Every man child among you shall be circumcised 11 “And ye shall circumcise the flesh of your foreskin; and it shall be a **token** (sign) of **the covenant betwixt me and you.**”

Gen.17:13 He that is born in thy house, and he that is bought with thy money, must needs be circumcised: and my covenant shall be in your flesh for an everlasting covenant.

Ex.12:48 And when a stranger shall sojourn with thee, and will keep the Passover to YHWH, let all his males be circumcised, and then let him come near and keep it; and he shall be as one that is born in the land: for no uncircumcised person shall eat thereof.

Are we to be ‘circumcised’? ... YES! ... Are we to be **physically** ‘circumcised’ in the New Testament post-crucifix times? ... According to Paul as led by YHWH’s Set-Apart Spirit... No! ... Are we to be **Spiritually** ‘circumcised’ in the New Testament post-crucifix times? ... According to Paul as led by YHWH’s Set-Apart Spirit ... YES!

Rom.2:25 For circumcision verily profiteth, if thou keep the law: but if thou be a breaker of the law, thy circumcision is made uncircumcision.

And just who hasn’t broken the law or is without sin? Here’s an interesting question; ... Is there any provision whatsoever for this ‘counting’ of uncircumcision for law breaking to be made circumcision(physical or spiritual) again just by virtue of deciding to re-keep Levitical law and/or a broken Covenant without any other consideration? That is if any one of us had the notion to be saved under these now defunct replaced provisions that required the physical circumcision to be the ‘covenant sign’ to able to keep that Sinai Covenant; that is to do this Covenant without a sacrifice ... the only way you would ever do that ... the only way YHWH the Father could ever blamelessly honor that as a righteous judge ... is that it was done sinlessly without spot to the letter flawlessly as Yahshua Himself did. This will not happen in anybody’s lifetime

Rom.2:28 "For he is **not** a Jew, which is one outwardly; **neither** is that circumcision, which is outward in the flesh. 29 But he is a Jew, which is one inwardly; and **circumcision is that of the heart**, in the spirit,

and not in the letter; whose praise is not of men, but of YHWH."

1Cor.7:18 "Is any man called being circumcised? let him not become uncircumcised. Is any called in uncircumcision? let him **not** be circumcised. 19 "**Circumcision is nothing**, and uncircumcision is nothing, but the keeping of the commandments of YHWH."

Gal.5:11 And I, brethren, **if** I yet preach circumcision, why do I yet suffer persecution? then is the offence of the cross ceased (?).
(Gal. 5:6, 6:13-15, Eph 2:11, Php.3:3, Col.2:11, 3:11, 4:11)

At this point none of us should be surprised by translator or interpretive error, look at how much more sense it would make to pose the verbiage; "then is the offence of the cross ceased." as a rhetorical question following what is translator identified as a rhetorical question. We certainly know that "the offence of the cross" is a real one for it fundamentally changed the foundation and premise of the quote un-quote Jewish system. Clearly by Paul's own hand one of the many casualties was 'physical circumcision'.

Gal.5:2 "Indeed I, Paul, say to you that **if** you become (physically) circumcised, Messiah (Christ) will profit you **nothing**."

On the other hand we are still to be circumcised, but rather circumcised in our hearts. Heart circumcision is not just a New Testament concept:

Php.3:3 "For we are the circumcision, who worship YHWH in the Spirit, rejoice in Messiah Yahshua, and have no confidence in the flesh,"

Note: These are the last 'Law of Moses' Torah entries concerning circumcision.

Deut. 10:16 "Circumcise therefore the foreskin of **your heart**, and be no more stiffnecked."

Deut. 30:6 "And **YHWH** thy Elohim **will circumcise thine heart**, and the heart of thy seed, to love the YHWH thy Elohim with all thine heart, and with all thy soul, that thou mayest live."

Which are reiterated in the Tanakh and the Brit Chadasha.

Jer.4:4 "Circumcise yourselves to YHWH, and **take away the foreskins of your heart**, ye men of Judah and

inhabitants of Jerusalem: lest my fury come forth like fire, and burn that none can quench it, because of the evil of your doings."

Rom.4:9 "Does this blessedness then come upon the circumcised only, or upon the uncircumcised also? For we say that **faith was accounted to Abraham for righteousness**.¹⁰ How then was it accounted? While he was circumcised, or uncircumcised? **Not** while circumcised, but **while uncircumcised**.
11 And he received the **sign** of circumcision, a **seal** of the righteousness of the faith which he had while **still uncircumcised**, that he might be **the father of all** those who believe **though they are uncircumcised**, that righteousness might be imputed to them **also**,¹² and **the father of circumcision** to those who not only are of the circumcision, but who **also** walk in the steps of the faith which our father Abraham had **while still uncircumcised**.¹³ For the promise that he would be the heir of the world was **not** to Abraham or to his seed **through the law**, but through the **righteousness of faith**.¹⁴ For **if** those who are of the law are heirs, **faith is made void** and **the promise** (Gen.12 'Oath') made of **no effect**"

Notice this does **not** say anything about a 'Covenant' made. It does speak of '**the promise**' YHWH made indicating Gen.12 in the form of an 'Oath' pronounce on **Abraham** while he was yet **uncircumcised**. However it is noteworthy to realize as this verse is usually taken to mean that the Covenant that **was** made by YHWH with Abraham and his descendants at Gen.15, was done so while Abraham **and** his descendants (including the ones still in his loins Heb 7:10) were still **physically uncircumcised**.

This situation being so should make it more understandable why in many ways; barring animal sacrifice that the New Covenant times of today are in ways more similar than not, the same as the Genesis 'Oath' and 'Promise' times of Abraham (pre Gen.17). Consider both situations were; Under a Melkchezidek Priesthood, there was no Levitical Priesthood, there was no physical circumcision, we are still to follow the Fathers 'My Laws', we are still under Covenant through the New Covenant linked to that time because of the Genesis 12 'Oath' and Genesis 15 'Promise', both received by Abraham while still physically uncircumcised. And realize that even though we don't animal blood sacrifice; we still need a blood covering sacrifice. That 'New Covenant' sacrifice is Yahshua.

Yahshua died the death ratifying the New Covenant in his own Blood. To suppose other wise calls into question that Yahshua had to die at all? ... and at that ... that kind

of death? That kind of death was directly tied to the original Abrahamic ‘cutting of the covenant’ (Gen.15). To reason to the contrary would call into question the very wisdom of YHWH for having done so ... and entering into that kind of arrangement for our benefit in the first place. That wisdom included **‘love’**. And what’s more, is that when Yahshua died the death as the ‘Go`el’ Covenant Husband, He died for **their (All 12 Tribes)** breaking Abraham’s Covenant and to break the Sinai Covenant that “they” (Abraham’s Covenant descendants) had already broken that originally ‘married’ ALL Israel to YHWH (both the House of Israel AND the House of Judah); leaving both ‘Houses’ without both of these Covenants (Abraham and Sinai) ... both without a Covenant Husband ... divorced or otherwise (Jer.31:32). Leaving both with the only viable option to accept the New Covenant; thus being re-unified under that ‘New Covenant’.

Another important realization to make is that the New Covenant is not tied to ... but is the successive answer to both Abraham’s Covenant and the Abraham ‘Inheritance’ Covenant of Mt. Sinai. You ask how after these 2 Covenants as just explained are broken and void? Because of the ‘Oath’ (Gen.12:2-3) YHWH had made **to** Abraham before He ever entered into Covenant **with** Abraham and the fact of YHWH’s Law (Gen.26:5) inherently emanating from YHWH’s very Thought and Character, powering His Sovereign ‘Will’ to pronounce an ‘Oath’ choosing Abraham and his descendents to ‘Bless’ that is the sum total of which itself being the DNA identity of the unbreakable component embedded in these 2 ‘Promise’ Covenants.

This ‘DNA component’ stands of itself alone but is encased as the core nucleus that insures Abraham’s YHWH given ‘Promise’ to inherit. This ‘DNA component’ also insures YHWH’s continued effort to Covenant with Abraham’s ‘descendents’ as Family through a Marriage Covenant that requires these ‘descendents’ to possess, engender, exhibit the same ‘faith obedience fidelity’ of Abraham. This ‘DNA component’ that Yahshua Himself as Son of YHWH exhibited. This ‘DNA component’ ‘core nucleus’ cannot be altered or destroyed just because the 2nd party to a Covenant breaks the Covenant. This ‘core nucleus’ is the ‘Inheritance’ component as a whole that encases the very character of YHWH, that has always been present ... will always be present, therefore was present before YHWH ever entered into Covenant **with** Abraham at Abraham’s request (Gen.15:8). YHWH has said;

Psalm 89:34 “**My** covenant will I not break, nor alter
the thing that is gone out of my lips.”

An ‘oath’ is a bond ...a covenant promise one has made; either to oneself and/or to or with others ... ‘oath’ defined is: “any solemn attestation of the truth or the inviolability of what has been stated ... **the inviolability of a promise**”². This is the Covenant that is YHWH’s Covenant ... YHWH’s quote/un-quote “My covenant” ... not OUR covenant or YOUR covenant but YHWH’s “My Covenant”. We agree and are to agree to heed and obey His words ... He never agreed to heed or obey our word. The agreement to heed His Voice is a promise ... is our ‘oath’, vowing Covenant Allegiance that we through ourselves and like the Israelites have broken and/or have made void ... or

otherwise forfeit of ourselves through unfaithful non-performance or unrepentant non-acceptance. Either way or any combination in between, it works out the same.

To suppose an unbroken status of the Sinai Covenant for the House of Judah is to ignore all the evidence to the contrary including Paul's direct understanding. It is to suppose another way other than Yahshua, against His very own words (John 14:6), which basically amounts to nothing short of New Covenant **treason** and a travesty of error taught as revealed truth . Basically saying there are actually 2 ways of Salvation or a second bus out of town (so to speak) with two concurrent covenants of Salvation. You are left to answer this question; ... If the Abraham Covenant attached to the Sinai Marriage Covenant are still current; why would Yahshua accept the hideous death for the breaking of the Covenant, if in fact the covenant had not been broken?

We know that in fact YHWH had accepted that Covenant Breaking Death in our place, regardless of which party was at fault. Yahshua was our substitution, Yahshua was YHWH's substitution, His proxy, His <Go`el> 'power of attorney' (if you will) and our's, our <Go`el> stand-in ...and ...YHWH's stand-in. Would you die for **breaking** a current Covenant that was in fact 'current' and **not** Broken? ... in that way; basically resembling those slaughtered halved animals (of Gen.15)? Yahshua did not want to die that way, evidenced by His own words (Mt.26:39). Yahshua's crucifixion death had to fulfill many things, there was only one way to do that ... one of the various reasons why there is only one way of Salvation as stated by the unconfused Yahshua Himself (John 14:6).

John 14:6 ... "I am the way, the truth, and the life. No one comes to the Father except through Me."

Luke 22:20 ... "This cup is the new covenant in My blood, which is shed for you."

Heb 8:13 "In that He saith, 'A new covenant', He hath made the first old (<palaioo> **obsolete**). Now that which decayeth (<palaioo> **obsolete**) and waxeth old is ready to vanish away."

However; we also must retain the awareness that even though there are many things that have become 'Old' (Heb 8:13) and have no value to actually follow of the Levitical System and/or the basing on of 'faith' in the imagined viability of '**obsolete**' covenants; there is much value that can be added to our knowledge in understanding the when, what, how and why of the purpose of the 'Old' system. Further; understanding that this re-New-ed Covenant is nonetheless predicated on, and in that, part and particle of these (of Abraham) preceding others both in substance and purpose. Certainly different, certainly 'New'; however in many ways yet familiar; the same.

2Cor.3:11 "For if that which is done away was glorious, much more that which **remaineth** <meno> is glorious".

3306. menw meno, men'-o

a primary verb; **to stay (in a given place)**, state, relation or expectancy)--abide, **continue**, dwell, **endure**, **be present**, **remain**, stand, tarry (for), X thine own.

The obvious being, that for something to be able to remain, it would logically have had to have been established before hands. In other words logically 'remain' can only be 'remain' if there is something left from being established before to 'remain'.

2Cor.3:7 "But if the '**ministration**' of death" ... by Paul's own hand defining Paul's own hand in this same chapter and elsewhere proves that this verse does not call the 10 Commandments that were part of the Promise Inheritance Marriage Covenant (Eph.2:12) ... "death"? The only 'ministry of death' this could possibly speak of deals with various injunctions of Ex.22 that happen to be part of the Sinai Book of the Covenant involving "death" and the animal sacrifices that were indicated and thereby arguably subsequently commanded under the Levitical Priesthood as part of ... in answer to the Melchizedek Sinai Book of the Covenant (Ex 20:24, 23:18) which also included the 10 Commandments and laterally the Feasts of Ex.32.

These Covenant 'animal' sacrifices attached to this now 'broken covenant' (Jer.31:32) are certainly no longer valid; the Levitical Priesthood 'animal' sacrifices attached to this now 'broken covenant' (Jer.31:32) are certainly no longer valid whereas the sacrifice attached to the New Covenant 'is'; being Yahshua Himself the sacrifice to end all sacrifices. This being so would again indicate that the entire 'Book of the Covenant' was written on those 'stone tablets' the contents of which (minus "the '**ministration**' of death") are to be written on our hearts (2Cor.3:3)

2Cor.3:9 "For if the ministration of 'condemnation' (condemning covenant infidelity not marriage Jer.31:32) be glory, much more doth the ministration of righteousness (for marriage) exceed in glory."

2Cor.3:11 "For if that which is done away was glorious, much more that which remaineth is glorious."

Now ask yourself we know that nothing can "remain" unless it was established before ... Now what could possibly 'remain' of this 'ministry of condemnation'? The only conclusion is what it in response ministered to ... the breaking of Marriage Covenant that includes Marriage Covenant Law that included the 10 Commandments, the Sabbaths and Feasts.

Now notice what this verse grouping says:

2Cor.3:14 "But their minds were blinded: for until **this** day remaineth the **same** vail **untaken** away in

the reading of the old testament; which
vail is done away in Yahshua. 15 But **even unto
this day, when Moses is read**, the (**same**)
vail is upon **their heart**. 16 Nevertheless when **it**
(**their heart**) shall turn to YHWH, the vail (of the
reading of Moses & the old testament)
shall be taken away."

We as 'Sunday go ta meet'n Christians' (and Sabbath for that matter) don't even read Torah for Torah's sake ... as a viable 'Moses' option? ... as something to actually apply? ... in our daily, weekly and annual lives? Yet there it is; this verse and others like it attest to the resilience of this ignored viability. Supposing an unverified teaching to be based on Torah or the Bible is more dangerous than that supposition unchecked without that basis. The obvious is that if you base conducting your life on Biblical premise, you are wanting to please who? But to please YHWH you must do it in the way He has conveyed (2 John 1:6). Many have gone years even whole lifetimes supposing a Biblical basis for what they chose as a belief-system that was actually never fully checked out and/or was simply baseless, partially true at best. It is easier to fight the enemy knowing he is outside than to fight an enemy that you wouldn't even consider as already in (2 Cor.11:13-15) especially among those you love and trust.

Either Satan has laid or we through our own ignorance and religious leaders have assumed (probably a hefty dose of both) to lay; layer upon layer, upon layer of un-researched assumption; effectively hiding what we (the human race) clearly need to define. It is apparent by the cavalier use of phrases and the careless word choices that many of Orthodox Judaism, Messianic Judaism, Messianic Israel and the Denominated Churches use showcasing many things including the ignorance of many assumptions in explaining things that are "Jewish." and/or that are deemed "Jewish." As an Example; Scholarly types from each group will talk about the month of 'Nisan' while explaining things like the Passover or the Days of Unleavened Bread, etc.

These Scholarly explanations invariably never consider to share that 'Nisan' is not the correct Scriptural Torah name for this month. Never considering to share that the name 'Nisan' is the name of the Babylonian 'fertility goddess' given to the month subsequently retained by Judah during Judah's Babylonian captivity. What is more the 'Hebrew/Jewish' writing we see today is actually adapted post Babylonian script. Which means 1] the Torah, 2] the 10 Commandments, 3] the Book of the Covenant, 4] the Levitical Book of the Law and 5] debatably the first third of the Old Testament could not possibly have been originally written in this post Babylonian "Jewish" script (2Kings 20:17-18).

What is amazing is that someone in (of all places) Hollywood, has more accurate knowledge in their movies³ concerning original Hebrew (that is 'Paleo-Hebrew' script⁴) than most of these Scholarly presenters either know or are willing to present. Seemingly unaffected and/or unaware certainly not tuned in to the sensitivity of what they are teaching these audience masses. Relevance?

1] If you were to do a word search for a study on ‘Nisan’, you will never be led to the Torah “Abib” in any Bible except for one that may have been exceptionally translated or footnoted to correct or reflect that knowledge.

2] It definitely confuses the issue especially for ‘newbees’, research beginners and the trusting so-called ‘Faithful’ that have been and are taught, but never before have researched their ‘Faith’.

3] This foreign Babylonian word ‘Nisan’ commands a certain respect and awe ... sounding so beyond question, sophisticated, intelligent, scholarly, accurate and precise when it is in fact none of these things; actually being at best scholastically and accurately sloppy.

Scholarly types from both sides of Torah will talk about the “Jews” as if they were ‘All Israel’ when in fact they are not. Scholarly types from each will talk about the “Jews” as if they had a current unbroken Abraham-Sinai Covenant when in fact they do not. Scholarly types from each will talk about the “Jewish Feasts” when in fact they are not; these Feasts are YHWH’s Feasts (Lev.23). I’ve found that many that wish to sound ‘Scholarly’ only sound ‘Scholarly’ to the ignorant. I speak from the experience of having been very ignorant of these considerations myself. Being innocent or unaware is no shame. Having someone repeatedly twist it to his or her advantage to substantiate error is.

It only makes sense that since ‘we’ (those that purpose to be Authentic Covenant, Will of YHWH Believers) will be refined as ‘silver and gold’ is refined, that we seriously need to go through all the words, terms, teachings, traditions and concepts with a fine-toothed comb ... **now!** So that we can teach the Truth that others will respond to and actually be ‘Saved’ by ... so that they can more accurately repeat the process. What is more, that they would have enough sound accurate from YHWH’s Word knowledge to be both defensibly and offensively equipped, to be effectively convincing (2 Tim.4:2) and compelling (Luke 14:23). We can either do it now or we **will** answer for it ... later. Either way we that would be called by His actual Name are responsible.

The reason why most of the so-called ‘unchurched’ don’t believe and don’t respect religion is that when religion (as it is commonly understood) is ground out fine, as popularly from tradition presented it ultimately ... completely ... plainly ... flat does not make sense. The reason why most of the so-called church and religious leaders don’t ‘get it’; is they never fully consider the apposing incongruency of what they say ... of what they say and do ... of what they say, do and teach ... that kind of ingenuous (un-genuine) religion again when it is ground out fine; ultimately ... completely ... plainly ... does not make sense.

There is yet another thought pervading ... seeking audience propagating the false notion that the New Covenant is wholly new (that is without ‘renewed’ properties) and therefore wholly separate from Abraham’s Covenant (of which this Book has given ample proof to the contrary); and as such is still yet future to only the literal physical

Israelites including converts (meaning Only Judaism and the converts to Judaism as the only 'known' Israel). This notion seeks to place a distinction between the Jeremiah 31 prophesy prophesying the New Covenant to "the House of Israel and the House of Judah". Instead actually calling it "Jeremiah's New Covenant" that seeks to justify presenting this renamed package as separate from the Hebrews 8 narrative that in reality actually attaches the New Covenant to the finished work of Yahshua (Luke 22:20, John 19:30, Heb 9:25) as High Priest (Hebrews Chapters 2; 10 & 13) prophesied by the prophets of the Old Testament.

The prophesy aspect alone attaches the two as does the exact wording of the two passages (compare Jer.31:31-33 with Heb.8:8-10). These dissenters use words indicating future 'fulfillment', future 'consummation' and the like, beyond the New Testament ignoring that this 'the New Covenant' ... (that is this so-called "Jeremiah's New Covenant") as stated by Messiah Himself is already 'Blood Ratified' (Mark 14:22-24, Luke 22:20). The future maturing of a current fully consummated covenant to a future goal fulfillment does not in any way negate or void the ratification of that covenant's initial establishment.

To those that would continue to teach and insist that the so-called against Scripture "Jeremiah's New Covenant" is separate and yet future, I would ask how will it be ratified? All Katubah Marriage Salvation Covenants are Blood Ratified! Where will they get this blood ... from animals? Yahshua is the sacrifice to end all Sacrifice [Heb 10:10; 12]. Will Yahshua have to die and shed His Blood again or just give a pint or two? The only logical conclusion is that this "Jeremiah's New Covenant" and the "Hebrew's New Covenant" are one in the same ... fully 'Blood Ratified' at the 'Crucifixion' and current to this day to ... whosoever will ... Israelites, Judahites and Gentiles. When we accept and say 'we are (including our sins are) covered by the Blood', this is already shed blood on the Cross, on the Mercy Seat, on the New Covenant (Luke 22:20).

There is nonetheless much that Messianic Judaism has correct and we all owe Orthodox Judaism a HUGE debt of honor, good will and appreciation for even keeping Torah alive; Still it is a 'mixed bag' with that part being incorrect that is confusingly divisive, on many fronts. Orthodox Judaism and it's factions vs. Messianic Judaism and it's factions vs. Messianic Israel and it's factions vs. Sacred Name Assemblies and it's factions vs. Sabbatarians and it's factions vs. Denominated Sunday Churches and the splinter groups thereof. None of these groups are distinctively dividing 'what is law' and 'what is covenant' clearly and therein correctly.

The Denominated Churches point to the Torah Observant with the charge of Legalism that is partially correct. The Torah Observant point to the Denominated Churches with the charge of Lawlessness that is also partially correct. Both of these groups retain, add to and delete various points of Scripture at varying degrees; and are basically suffering from the same thing, albeit for almost exactly the opposite reason; that of Non-distinction between Covenant Law i.e. Principal Law and Non-Covenant Law i.e. Prescriptive Law.

By way of explanation the Torah Observant Messianic on most fronts either adheres to or attests to 'the Law' ... All the Law. Meaning both Covenant Law (that must be maintained) and Non-covenant Law (that must be 'rightly divided') ...vs. ... The Denominated Churches that on most fronts either adhere to or attest to 'Grace', meaning the liberty of non-law; both viable Covenant Law that is not viewed to be maintained and Non-covenant Law that is not understood to be separated being 'rightly divided'.

This area must be negotiated stealthfully/wisely in that there were/are concurrent laws both Covenant and prescriptive that were initiated originally ('first mentioned') under the original Melchizedek Priesthood ... and ... there were Covenants and prescriptive laws that were initiated originally under the Levitical Priesthood ... and ... there were Covenants and prescriptive laws that were merely reiterated/**restated** under the subsequent Levitical Priesthood (Heb.7:11) that were initially originally ('first mentioned') established under the original Melchizedek Priesthood.

In other words for the sake of clarity; the 'original' Melchizedek Priesthood's jurisdiction having no beginning and no end (Heb 7:3) encompassed all the Major Salvation Covenants and the Non- Covenant prescriptive laws that are distinctively Melchizedek Priesthood initiated, be it by 'oath' or 'proposal' and confirmed, be it by YHWH-resolve-promise or blood ratification. Conversely the subsequent Levitical Priesthood jurisdiction both having a beginning and an arguable end or at least lapse, from shortly after the time of Messiah to this present day, also had some lesser non-salvation Covenants initiated and confirmed; But most notable are the Levitical Priesthood jurisdiction initiated prescriptive laws that were added, imposed, etc. ultimately to be redeemed, reconciled, replaced by/to YHWH through Yahshua and the New Covenant He mediates (Col.2:14, Eph.2:15-16, Heb.7:11,17,21 - 12:24). This New Covenant reverts back to the direct 'original' Melchizedek Priesthood's jurisdiction via the Mediator/Ratifier/High Priest of this New Covenant (Heb 5:6, 10, 6:20, Ps.110:4).

When this Levitical Priesthood's preeminence ceased with what Paul coined as "a change ... of the law" (Heb.7:12), this also brought about the necessity to reiterate/restate in the New Testament those things that 'remained' (2Cr.3:11) of the prescriptive law initiated under the Levitical Priesthood ONLY! Conversely those things Levitically reiterated/restated that were actually original Melchizedek Priesthood jurisdiction, be it Covenant and/or prescriptive laws would then stand in the Melchizedek Priesthood ... Old and New Testament on their own outside of the Levitical arena*..

To aid the reader; the Levitical Priesthood mainly covered prescriptive laws that maintained the Salvation Promise Covenants that were actually under the Melchizedek Priesthood. This Melchizedek Priesthood also had its own prescriptive laws that evidenced the origin of several of the Levitical Priesthood prescriptive laws. To the point; most specifically those 'curses' contained in the prescriptive laws, would be current whether Melchizedek or Levitical that directly supported/managed this Melchizedek re-New-ed Covenant ... most specifically ... the curses for breaking it. Also anything YHWH has stated as 'My' or indicated as His prerogative. Moreover; anything that further explains each of the 10 Commandments, Sabbaths and Feasts. It must also be

stated that since the Melchizedek Priesthood has no beginning or end (Heb.7:1-3) and therefore has never ceased, it must be realized that the Levitical Priesthood was set in preeminence directly over Israel for a time until the crucifixion.

As you can see, there are many considerations to the concept of ‘**remain**’ that has been poorly assessed by our Theologians - Christian, Rabbinic or otherwise, that as with all Scriptural considerations must be ‘**rightly divided**’. These Melchizedek ‘Covenants of Promise’ in particular stand by themselves and are not part of Torah Law (Gal.3:18) or the Levitical Priesthood ... Specifically the Abraham Covenant Promise to ‘Inherit’, the Sinai Marriage Covenant of ‘Inheritance’ (both which were broken) and subsequently replaced by the Melchizedek Calvary ‘New’ Marriage Covenant of ‘Inheritance’ (Jer.31:31-33/Heb.8:8-10). In direct answer to YHWH’s own ‘Oath’ to Abraham and his ‘seed’ to ‘Inherit’ (**Gen.12:2-3**, 15:1-7, 17:9).

Note; I can hear someone now say, ... Ah Ha you’ve contradicted yourself, you just got through stating that, “Melchizedek Priesthood Covenant and prescriptive laws would then stand in the Melchizedek Priesthood ... Old and New Testament on their own outside of the Levitical arena” ... Physical Circumcision is a Melchizedek Priesthood law! ... Correct enough; however as stated Physical Circumcision is the sign of a now broken Melchizedek Priesthood Covenant and by that very nature is itself broken. Although ‘Circumcision of the Heart’ is not directly stated before the Levitical time, we certainly know that Abraham walked in the ‘Circumcision of the Heart’ before and after Physical Circumcision (Before Gen.12-17, After Gen.17 and on, with Gen.26:5 covering both before and after).

These Inheritance/Marriage Covenants (now culminated in the New Covenant) carry the expressed will and character of YHWH that can never change; that is the only way His Family Bride can be without spot or wrinkle. Being ‘Set-Apart (Holy) as HE is Set-Apart (Holy). The point of all this is that the gulf between the No Law Church and the All Law Torah Assemblies would fade to non-existence, when Covenant with it’s Covenant Law is reinstated on one side and not added to on the other; ... and ... these rifts that exist between the Assemblies would also fade into the restored Whole House of Israel Covenant ‘Unity’ of Ezekiel 37. If these various New Testament groups could do that, it just might actually provoke Orthodox Judaism to the jealousy Paul spoke of at Rom.11:11.

We truly earnestly need to understand the Covenant Unity of Love and the Covenant Love of Unity. To start to understand this we must consider what exactly YHWH has chosen as an unending memorial sign in the day of the 7th Day Sabbath and Annual Memorials (Gen.1:14, 2:3, Ex.31:13). That my friend is ... time. What do small children want most from their parents ... time ... what do parents need most if they would love their children to the fullest, at any age ... time ... what did you and your spouse need most when you were dating; ... a chaperone? ... You know you needed time ...gifts of time ... time spent ... time shared ... quality meaningful connecting time are an integral communicated part of the language of Love.

This is especially true in YHWH's Covenant economy. You must invest time to keep any meaningful relationship healthy, vital, vibrant and current. Love is expressed in many ways ... all those ways; both obvious and hidden require time. Those that would Love and would be Loved must be unhurried. Satan has attacked this in many ways. An appropriate anachronism for the weapon used most often in this attack is found in the word B U S Y ... **B**eing **U**nder **S**atan's **Y**oke. Satan has attacked the Sabbath in many ways ... directly and indirectly. Above all else, Sabbath is a Set-Apart (Holy) Appointed Time-Worship-Love issue (2John 1:6), which laterally brings in issues of 'Love', 'Obedience', Growth, etc.

2 John 1:6 "And this is love, that we walk after His Commandments. This is the commandment, that, as ye have heard from the beginning, ye should walk in it."

1John 2:5 "But whoso keepeth His Word, in him verily is the love of YHWH perfected: hereby know we that we are in Him."

Satan knows this, very simply, very plainly; Sabbath is an issue of Worshipful Love to YHWH that requires time ... and at that from Creation ... Covenant time. 1Cor.13 tells us love ... "does not behave rudely" and "does not seek its own," that's why we as the recipients of our Heavenly Father's Love should realize that obedience to Him and His Covenant Commandments are/is Love (John 5:3; 14: 15, 21; 1John 2: 3-6).

Those that resiliently refuse to accept, and/or actively refute the conveyed discovery of this book, have to either squarely answer or continue to ignore some immanently obvious impossible leaps:

1] The Sinai "Book of the Covenant" was given to a people that had been Set-Apart 'sanctified' Ex 19:10. That 'sanctified' state ran concurrent through to the ratification of the "Book of the Covenant" at Ex 24:7-8. *WHEREAS the* "Book of the Law Gal 3:10 ... was added because of transgressions Gal 3:19 ...

Significance – 'Sanctify' does not and will never equal 'Transgress'.

2] Heb 7:11 unmistakably shows that the Law was added under the Levitical Priesthood. That Priesthood was first mentioned at Ex. 28, while Moses was still 40 days on Sinai. *BUT* Aaron and his Levite sons were NOT installed in the Priesthood. Office till Ex 40:13-15 (in either case) clearly AFTER the ratification of the "Book of the Covenant" Ex 24:8. Note: you will not find anywhere that Moses was or was ever called a Levitical Priest.

Significance- the "Book of the Covenant" Ex19:5-24:8 as the Gen.15 Abraham Promise Covenant, as is the Gen.12 YHWH 'Oath'; would then laterally be under

the same Melchizedek Priesthood of Gen. 14:18-19/ Heb 7:1-2, and therefore of NO part of anything 'Law' initiated under the later Levitical Priesthood.

3] Paul states in Gal 3:15 that a covenant confirmed CANNOT be 'added to or taken away from'.

Significance- Gal 3:15, being part of the on going revealing of YHWH, stresses a tenant issue to remember when 'rightly dividing' His revealed word. The point being that the "Book of the Covenant" Ex19:5-24:8 was 'Blood Ratified' - 'Confirmed' - (Sealed) at Ex.24:8 and may Not be confused with any other Covenant or law, specifically the 'law' stated at Ex.24:12.

4] Paul states at Heb.9:10 that the Law was "imposed". While Ex.19:8 and Ex.24:3 show both the pre and post 'agreed' acceptance of the "Book of the Covenant" (Ex19:5-24:8).

Significance- 'Imposed' will never equal 'Agreed'.

5] The two specific covenants Paul was inspired to single out in Gal.3:18 are not and can never be confused with any other law revealed in Torah ...

[Complete Jewish Bible, 'Scripture' Bible, RNKJV, etc.]

Significance- The New Covenant of the New Testament is the prophesied replacement of the Marriage (Jer.31:31-33) Katubah Book of the Covenant of Mt. Sinai that had been broken (Jer.31:32 / Zec.11:10-12). The New Covenant of the New Testament is the New and Final Melchizedek Fulfillment answer (this side of Yahshua's return) to the original Abraham Promise (Gen.12:2-3, Lk.22:20/Heb.5:10-Heb 7:21) made under that same Melchizedek Priesthood.

.....

Before we close this chapter I wish to expand on the previously mentioned observation concerning Abraham and this 'Promise' Covenant. After searching, researching, pondering and mulling over this situation for awhile it has become evident that YHWH 'played' (if you will) Abraham. That is; it is reasonably apparent that YHWH maneuvered Abraham until it either dawned on Abraham to ask for and/or it occurred to Abraham that it was O.K. to ask for an 'assurance' of what YHWH was promising, which prompted the Covenant proceedings of Gen.15.

These words of v:8; "... whereby shall I know that I shall inherit it?" come after several restated instances of YHWH repeatedly affirming to Abraham; "I will make", "a great name", "I will bless", "I am thy shield, and thy exceeding great reward", etc. (and the like) in various ways between Gen.12 and Gen.15 promising the seemingly impossible ... an inheritance that could only come through an heir (v:2) ... Isaac ... who

wasn't even conceived till chapter 17. I don't quite know the full significance of this situation, maybe again a legal one, but it seems convincingly apparent that YHWH wanted Abraham to ask for assurance (Gen.15: 8); for as soon as he did ... whalla ... the Covenant proceedings that started the specific 'covenants of promise', beginning at v:9.

Conclusion

I do not know nor can I know with certainty all the possible variables of those things held as true knowledge this divisioning will touch or the emotions it will evoke. If honesty is any part of the Scriptural equation; this Covenant/Law divisioning if ever seriously considered, will certainly beg the calling into question the researching of everything that has gone on in the Religious Arena thus far. Further including everything currently evolving in the Religious Arena based on those early error assumptions. However it is with iron-clad certainty that if the 'not under the law' Christian church ever reinstated Covenant with its Melchizedek Covenant Laws ... and the Torah observant Assemblies would divide out the Levitical 'prescriptive' law concerns that were never Covenant let alone 'New Covenant' ... these groups would be standing on the same Melchizedek New Covenant ... the grounds of a Scriptural Unity in the reality of the Same Covenant that can only be attested to in aspirated frustrated pretense; at this present time.

Rom.11:11 "I say then, Have they stumbled that they should fall? YHWH forbid: but rather through their fall Salvation is come unto the Gentiles, for to provoke them to jealousy. 12 Now if the fall of them be the riches of the world, and the diminishing of them the riches of the Gentiles; how much more their fullness?"

2 Tim.3:8 "Now as Jannes and Jambres withstood Moses, **so do these also resist the truth:** men of corrupt minds, reprobate concerning **the faith.**"

2 Cor.13:5 "Examine yourselves, whether ye be in the faith; **prove your own selves.** Know ye not your own selves, how that Yahshua Messiah is in you, except ye be reprobates?"

As we go to the last chapter we must explore what it means to be 'Set-Apart' and what that necessarily ex-cludes:

John 1:12 "But as many as received him (Yahshua), to them gave He power <exousia> to become <ginomai> the sons of YHWH, even to them that believe on His **Name:**"

1849. exousia exousia, ex-oo-see'-ah

from 1832 (in the sense of ability); **privilege**, i.e. (subjectively) force, **capacity**, **competency**, **freedom**, or (objectively) **mastery** (concretely, magistrate, superhuman, potentate, token of control), delegated influence:--**authority**, **jurisdiction**, liberty, power, right, strength.

1096. ginomai ginomai, ghin'-om-ahee

a prolongation and middle voice form of a primary verb; to cause to be ("gen"-erate), i.e. (reflexively) to become (**come into being**), used with great latitude (literal, figurative, intensive, etc.):--**arise**, be assembled, be(-come, -fall, -have self), be brought (to pass), (be) **come (to pass)**, **continue**, be divided, draw, be ended, fall, be finished, **follow**, **be found**, be fulfilled, + God forbid, **grow**, happen, have, be kept, be made, **be married**, be ordained to be, partake, pass, be performed, be published, require, seem, be showed, X soon as it was, sound, be taken, be turned, use, wax, will, would, be wrought.

Now; let's start with what John 1:12 does NOT say. It does NOT say in any way shape or form that YHWH will 'over-power' anyone's 'freewill' to become His child. It does NOT say you have to pray any extra for this 'Power'. It indicates that this 'Power' is already currently available; that this 'Power' came by way of Yahshua's instruction, example and finished Covenant work. Now let's put this together;

We have been given the: 'freedom and liberty' to *pursue* the '**privilege**, **capacity**, and **competency**' to '**arise and grow**', bringing it to '**come (to pass)**', to be able to **continue and follow**' for the purpose of **mastery** in the '**authority-jurisdiction**' of '**Family Relationship Marriage Covenant**'.

As a point of interest and expanded awareness concerning the previously mentioned 'Paleo-Hebrew-Script'⁴; there is a valuable connection to be understood concerning the divorced Northern Tribes of Israel ... the so-called 'lost tribes' of Israel. One thing is certain; they may have been 'lost' but they didn't disappear. They were assimilated into the nations. I have read different research material that exposés physical links connecting many of the English speaking nations (and others) to those 'lost tribes'.

Anyone can see; if you had a vested interest to hide or deny the identity of the second party of a "Two House" group you would definitely want to hide this. My wife is Swedish; when she took me to Sweden for the first time we also went to Norway and Denmark (also spelt 'Danmark' by the locals). This is interesting for 'mark' in Danish can mean 'land' so the name actually means 'Dan -land'. This becomes more interesting realizing that the Hebrew word translated as 'mark' is <owth> which can mean 'monument' and we all know that YHWH's 'oath' to Abraham through Isaac (Gen.15:4) included the land.

We know that 'Dan' was a Northern Tribe of Israel. It is also of interest that the word 'ish' in Hebrew means 'man', so the word 'Danish' literally means 'Dan-man' just like the composite of the word 'British' is actually Hebrew and literally means "Covenant <brit> man <ish>". Not to mention that many of our English words are directly from Hebrew with some few still being pronounced and meaning pretty much the same.

Along the same lines, another potential enlightenment comes by the word 'English'. We already know what the word 'ish' means; it is not hard to see that the 'Eng' of 'English' came from the 'Ang' of 'Anglo-Saxon'. What is not popularly considered is that the 'Saxon' of 'Anglo-Saxon' identifies the 'Sons of Isaac' or 'Isaac's Sons'. The potential of this awareness being correct is high, for we know that the sons of Isaac were the sons of the 'Promise' (Gen.15:4). While we were abroad, we went to a Viking Ship Museum in Roskilde Denmark; what I found so un-expectedly corroborating was that the ancient Viking alphabetic script called 'runes'⁴ (pronounced 'rue`ins') looked remarkably like ancient 'Paleo-Hebrew-Script'⁴; with the "R" being exactly the same and even finding its way to our 'modern-English-script' relatively unchanged. Those that would minimize or discount this need to remember, that a clue is a clue precisely because they are unknown and there are not many of them.

Please check out the provided websites⁴ and compare for yourselves, also word search 'American British Israelite Heritage', there's pro and con on the subject, so weigh the facts. As a personal note; especially after seeing what I personally saw and being able to personally ask questions on site, I find the evidence (including personally researched Scriptural evidence some of which is presented in this book) of those 'for' more plausible than those 'against'. Consider; how would you act?, how would you see yourself?, how would you respond to yourself and others?; how do you think this Nation would be responding if we as a collective actually saw ourselves as being the direct descendants of those that were actually at the foot of Mt. Sinai, receiving the Covenant that contain the Commandments? Even if none of these considerations were valid; you that would count yourself as a New Creature in the Blood bought Salvation of Messiah had better (Mat.19:17).

Remember from chapter 1 that 'Faith' is "the confirmation of the fact"; with Heb.11:1 stating:

"Now faith is the **substance** of things hoped for, the **evidence** of things not seen."

'**Substance** and **Evidence**' convey legal fact. Biblical faith by definition includes 'fidelity'. It should be becoming clear that Heb.11:1 unpacked reveals a **substance** that 'believers' are to walk in.

2Cor.5:7 "For we walk by faith, not by sight:"

That 'fact' is the legal 'fidelity' walk of Biblical Family Relationship Covenant which is the '**substance**' for our 'hope' (Rom.8:24-25). This 'hope' <elipsis> involves 'confidence' which again involves 'fidelity'; 'con-fidence' - 'with - fidelity'. That is the '**evidence**' of the unseen '**substance**' that is 'faith i.e. fidelity'. We tend to think of Heb.11:1 in terms of what we're gonna get not so much in terms of what we are to be and what we are to be doing and why we are to be doing it. The "why we are to be doing it" part has directly to do with legal verifiable '**evidence**'. The '**evidence**' of Love, Faith, Fidelity, Relationship, Obedience, Reliance, Intent, Repentance, Surrender, Submission,

Character, Emulation, Integrity, etc.; that are all invisible attributes '**evidenced**' only by walking in the '**substance**' of 'fidelity' to YHWH's provision of Covenant. Now let's see what else Heb.11 has to say on the matter:

Heb.11:6 "But without faith (<pistis> 'fidelity') it is **impossible** to please Him: for he that cometh to YHWH must believe that He is, and that He is a rewarder of them that diligently seek him."

Now ask yourself; if YHWH will be rewarding 'diligent' effort, why would He bother doing that unless we will be changed by allowing our faith to be formed by Him and what we find in His Word? This will certainly not happen if we reject what is discovered and we must also then realize that being 'spoon-fed' is not 'diligently seeking' and that 'assuming' against Covenant 'fidelity' is **not** authentic 'faith'.

Rom.12:2 "And be not conformed to this world: but be ye transformed by the renewing of your mind, that **ye may prove** what is that good, and acceptable, and perfect, 'will' (Covenant) of YHWH."

Eph.4:23 "And be renewed in the spirit of your mind;
24 And that ye put on the new man, which after YHWH is created in righteousness **and true holiness.**"

¹ mdl.heartofisrael.org/EphraimiteError.pdf

² Webster's New Collegiate Dictionary ... G.& C. Merriam Co. ...
Copyright @ 1956

³ 1] Cecil B. De Mille's "The Ten Commandments" (1956) - where the lightening finger of the Most High is writing the Ten Commandment tablet.
2] Steven Spielberg's "Raiders of the Lost Ark" (1981) - where they are inspecting the Hebrew Medallion 'head piece' - to be placed on the so-called 'staff of Rah' ...
Note around the edges of the Medallion

⁴ For Scriptural and Historical verification contact:
www.paleotimes.com or ... www.fossilizedcustoms.com
http://www.cdli.ca/CITE/v_runes.htm
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Paleo-Hebrew_alphabet

⁵ Book - "Who is Israel" by Batya Wootten ... www.mia.com